

Letter of Interest: Bunch Compressor for the PIP-II Linac

E. Prebys¹, R. H. Bernstein², J. Pasternak³, and B. Echenard⁴

¹University of California, Davis, California 95616, USA

²Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510, USA

³Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, UK

⁴California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125, USA

August 31, 2020

Introduction

The PIP-II linac at Fermilab will provide dramatically increased proton intensity for the long term Fermilab neutrino program. The neutrino program will only require a fraction of the 800 MeV protons produced by PIP II, and the remaining protons will be available for other research opportunities.

Unfortunately, the bunch structure of the Linac is not well matched to some experimental concepts. For example, it is not practical for a future muon-to-electron conversion experiment based on an FFA, in the style of the proposed PRISM/PRIME experiment at J-PARC [1, 2]. Such an experiment would need bunch sizes of roughly 1×10^{12} protons with lengths shorter than 30 ns.

We therefore propose a small “compressor” ring to produce more intense bunches. We will use bunch requirements of the PRISM FFA as our nominal target, although specifications might change based on the needs of other experiments.

PIP-II Beam

The PIP-II Linac is described in detail elsewhere [3], but the important beam parameters are shown in Table 1. A key feature of the PIP-II Linac is an injection chopper that can generate an arbitrary bunch pattern up to a maximum bunch rate of 162.5 MHz. The high energy (≥ 8 GeV) physics program is limited by the maximum batch size and repetition rate of the Fermilab Booster to about 1% of the available linac beam, and a large fraction of the 800 MeV protons will be available to other experiments.

Many options are being considered for delivering the excess beam to users, but a popular scenario envisions a switching line containing a 40.625 MHz RF separator, which can supply beam to up to three users. Individual lines will be selected by producing bunches with the appropriate phase relative to the separator RF. The central “node” line will therefore get bunches at up to a maximum rate of 81.25 MHz, while each of the two “side” (anti-node) lines will get bunch rates of up to 40.625 MHz each. We will see that the latter frequency is well suited to the requirements outlined above.

Parameter	Linac Output	Central Line	Side Lines	Comment
Energy [MeV]		800		
Bunch Length [ps]		4		
ϵ_L [ns-keV,RMS]		1.1		
σ_E [keV]		275		ϵ_L/σ_t
Max. Ave. H^- /Bunch		0.8×10^8		2 mA
Peak H^- /Bunch		2.0×10^8		5 mA
Bunch Frequency [MHz]	162.5	81.25	40.625	Maximum
Bunch Separation [ns]	6.2	12.3	24.6	Minimum

Table 1: Beam Parameters for the PIP-II Linac. The third and fourth columns assume an RF splitter and give the beam available to the “central” and “side” users, respectively.

Compressor Ring Parameters

An 800 MeV proton beam has rigidity of about 5 T-m. Assuming bend dipoles of about 1 T and a fill factor of about 2/3, the corresponding ring has a ~ 46 m circumference. This is close to the 49.7 m circumference that would be harmonic 8 for 40.625 MHz, and we therefore use this value as a reference. A schematic of the ring is shown in Figure 1.

The ring would use charge exchange injection to inject over many revolutions, painting to uniformly fill the available emittance acceptance. Since the Linac bunch intensity is 1.5×10^8 , it will require 6667 turns to reach 10^{12} protons/bunch. With the 182 ns period of the ring, this will take 1.3 ms. Note that this time is independent of how many bunches are populated.

To mitigate space charge tune shift effects, it is important to slightly detune the local RF with respect to the Linac RF to make the accumulated bunch as long as possible. We assume that we the bunch length will grow to fill half the bunch spacing, or 12.1 ns. This would only leave 12.1 ns between bunches, which is likely insufficient for the extraction kicker, so only four bunches will be populated.




Figure 1: Schematic view of the PIP-II Bunch Compressor ring.

Space Charge

With a 12.1 ns bunch, if we want to keep the tune shift below about 0.2, the normalized RMS emittance will have to be greater than 3.4 mm-mr, or slightly more than the present Fermilab Booster; this is not particularly challenging.

The RMS energy spread coming out of the Linac is 275 keV. The velocity spread is the about $\Delta\beta/\beta = 6.5 \times 10^{-5}$, yielding a time shear of about 65 ns/ms, requiring an RF system to keep the beam bunched.

We can approximate the longitudinal beta function by $\beta_L \approx t_b/2/\sigma_E$, which would require a single modest RF voltage of only about 350 V at 40.625 MHz.

Reference Performance

The performance of the parameters discussed is shown in Table 2.

Parameter	Value	Comment
Bunches	4	Assumed
Protons per Bunch	10^{12}	Target
Fill Time [ms]	1.3	$6667 \times \tau$
Extraction Rate [Hz]	100	Assumed
Average Power [kW]	12.8	

Table 2: Reference performance of the Compressor Ring, assuming 4 bunches/fill.

The resulting power is far too low for the next generation of muon-to-electron conversion experiments (see for example [2]), which would need beam power of at least 100 kW, and ultimately 1 MW. Increasing the power from the parameters discussed here would require increasing the bunch size and/or the extraction repetition rate. These two are coupled: increasing the bunch size will increase the required aperture, which then increases the complexity of the extraction kicker. Different experiments might also favor different trade-offs between bunch size and repetition rate.

This is an area that needs more discussion and R&D, and we hope that the Snowmass process will help launch a systematic investigation of the design.

References

- [1] R. Barlow, “The PRISM/PRIME project,” *Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl.*, vol. 218, pp. 44–49, 2011.
- [2] R. H. Bernstein *et al.*, “A New Charged Lepton Flavor Violation Program at Fermilab,” 2020, Snowmass 2021 LOI RF5-RF0-AF5-AF0-009.
- [3] V. Lebedev and PIP-Collaboration, “The PIP-II Reference Design Report,” FNAL, Tech. Rep., 6 2015, FERMILAB-DESIGN-2015-01.