Snowmass2021 - Letter of Interest

Exploring Beyond-the-Standard-Model Physics with TeV Gamma Rays from Primordial Black Holes*

Thematic Areas: (check all that apply \Box/\blacksquare)

■ (CF1) Dark Matter: Particle Like

□ (CF2) Dark Matter: Wavelike

■ (CF3) Dark Matter: Cosmic Probes

□ (CF4) Dark Energy and Cosmic Acceleration: The Modern Universe

□ (CF5) Dark Energy and Cosmic Acceleration: Cosmic Dawn and Before

CF6) Dark Energy and Cosmic Acceleration: Complementarity of Probes and New Facilities

■ (CF7) Cosmic Probes of Fundamental Physics

□ (Other) [Please specify frontier/topical group]

Contact Information: (authors listed after the text) Submitter Name/Institution: Kristi L. Engel / University of Maryland, College Park Collaboration (optional): HAWC, SWGO

Contact Email: klengel@umd.edu

Abstract: Primordial Black Holes may have been created by density fluctuations or phase transitions in the early Universe and could be as massive as $>10^9$ solar masses or as small as the Planck mass. It has been postulated that a black hole has a temperature inversely-proportional to its mass and will thermally emit all species of fundamental particles via Hawking Radiation. Primordial Black Holes in some mass ranges may be candidates for a non-negligible fraction of WIMP dark matter. A next-generation survey instrument such as the Southern Wide-field Gamma-ray Observatory (SWGO) would be ideal for searching for the evaporation signatures of Primordial Black Holes. While the mass expected to be evaporating today, producing short bursts lasting a few seconds of high-energy gamma radiation in the GeV–TeV energy range is not a WIMP dark-matter candidate, confirmed detection of a PBH burst of any initial mass would lend significant strength to the theory of PBHs as WIMP dark matter, as well as provide valuable insights into many areas of physics.

^{*}This Letter contains excerpts and material from White Papers submitted for the Astro2020 Decadal Survey 1:2

Primordial black holes (PBHs) are theoretical black holes which may have been formed in the early stages of the Universe³. Their mass spectrum depends on the formation mechanism and spans a large mass range. Black holes with low-enough mass are expected to evaporate completely through the radiation of particles and energy at the Hawking temperature⁴. The lifetime of a PBH is

$$\tau \approx 4.55 \times 10^{-28} (M_{\rm BH}/1{\rm g})^3 \,{\rm s}$$
 (1)

That is, a PBH with an initial mass of $\sim 5 \times 10^{14}$ g that was formed in the first moments of the Universe would have an evaporation time of roughly the current age of the Universe⁵, and thus might be detectable from Earth today. In the latest stage of evaporation, the PBH emits particles and radiation at increasingly higher energies which may be detectable by atmospheric or water Cherenkov detectors⁶. While PBHs with mass $\sim 5 \times 10^{14}$ g are expected to be evaporating today, producing short bursts lasting a few seconds of high-energy gamma radiation in the GeV–TeV energy range, they are not a WIMP dark-matter candidates⁷. However, confirmed detection of a PBH burst of any initial mass would lend significant strength to the theory of PBHs as WIMP dark matter by proving their existence, as well as allowing the determination of their relic density and rate-density of evaporation, and providing valuable insights into many areas of physics, including fundamental processes in the very early Universe and particle physics at energies higher than currently achievable by terrestrial accelerators⁸.

Gamma-ray observatories can therefore search for PBHs by looking for bursts of high-energy gamma rays created by the last seconds of PBH evaporation. Upper limits on the local density of such evaporating PBHs have been reported by the High-Altitude Water Cherenkov (HAWC) Observatory⁹. These analyses— and related ones by atmospheric Cherenkov observatories—search for spatially-localized and short-time bursts of gamma-ray events unrelated to an astrophysical source. The choice of the time window for these searches is a compromise between signal and background, with typical time windows being 1–30 s.

In the present Universe, PBHs in certain mass ranges may constitute a non-negligible fraction of dark matter^{7;10}. Since the existence of stellar-mass black holes was recently confirmed during the first observational run of Advanced LIGO¹¹, there has been a resurgence in support for a PBH component of the total dark matter energy density (e.g., Refs.^{12–14}). Limits placed thus far indicate that f(m), the fraction of dark matter that is made up of PBHs, is $\leq 10\%$ over a range of masses⁷ (see Figure 1).



Figure 1: Dark matter fraction with respect to PBHs¹⁵.

The luminosity of a PBH burst decreases as the squared distance to the PBH. However, at larger distances, the number of PBHs with given luminosity is expected to *increase* as the cube of the distance. Therefore, an observatory's sensitivity to PBHs scales as the 3/2 power of its gamma-ray sensitivity. The sensitivity of the Southern Wide-field Gamma-ray Observatory (SWGO; www.swgo.org) is expected to be roughly ten times better than the current HAWC observatory. Assuming no PBH burst detection, the upper limit on the local rate of PBH evaporation would thus be expected to improve by more than a factor of 30 compared to the HAWC limits, reaching the level of $\leq 130 \,\mathrm{pc}^{-3} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$, as shown in Table 1 and Figure 2.

Experiment	Burst Rate Upper Limit	Optimal Search Duration	Reference
Milagro	$36000 {\rm pc}^{-3} {\rm yr}^{-1}$	1s	16
VERITAS	$22200 m pc^{-3} yr^{-1}$	30s	17
HESS	$14000 \ {\rm pc}^{-3} {\rm yr}^{-1}$	30s	18
Fermi-LAT	$7200 \ { m pc}^{-3} { m yr}^{-1}$	$1.26 imes 10^8 s$	19
HAWC 3 yr.	$3400 \ {\rm pc}^{-3} {\rm yr}^{-1}$	10s	9
SWGO 10 yr.	$\lesssim 90 \ \mathrm{pc}^{-3} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$	10s	Estimated

Table 1: The strongest limits on the burst rate density of PBHs from the current generation of experiments, compared to the capabilities of a next-generation observatory, SWGO, with 10 times better sensitivity than HAWC. Note that the optimal search duration specifies the methodology of the search (optimizing for signal while minimizing background) rather than being a physical PBH parameter.



Figure 2: Sensitivity curve predicted upper limits for PBHs with SWGO (based on the HAWC limits from Ref. 9), with the upper limits from Whipple²⁰, CYGNUS²¹, the Tibet Air Shower Array²², H.E.S.S.¹⁸, VERITAS¹⁷, *Fermi*-LAT¹⁹, Milagro¹⁶, and HAWC⁹.

References

- J. Patrick Harding, A. Albert, C. Alvarez, R. Arceo, K. S. Caballero-Mora, G. Cotter, B. L. Dingus, K. L. Engel, H. Fleischhack, J. A. Goodman, T. Greenshaw, B. Hona, P. Huentemeyer, J. S. Lapington, J. Lundeen, J. Martinez-Castro, H. Martinez-Huerta, K. Murase, M. U. Nisa, H. Schoorlemmer, K. Tollefson, A. Viana, and A. Zepeda. Exploring Beyond-the-Standard-Model Physics with TeV Gamma-rays. , 51(3):272, May 2019.
- [2] P. Abreu et al. The Southern Wide-Field Gamma-Ray Observatory (SWGO): A Next-Generation Ground-Based Survey Instrument for VHE Gamma-Ray Astronomy. 7 2019.
- [3] B. J. Carr, Kazunori Kohri, Yuuiti Sendouda, and Jun'ichi Yokoyama. New cosmological constraints on primordial black holes. *Phys. Rev.*, D81:104019, 2010.
- [4] S. W. Hawking. Black hole explosions. Nature, 248:30-31, 1974.
- [5] Jane H. MacGibbon. Quark and gluon jet emission from primordial black holes. 2. The Lifetime emission. *Phys. Rev.*, D44:376–392, 1991.
- [6] T. N. Ukwatta, D. R. Stump, J. T. Linnemann, J. H. MacGibbon, S. S. Marinelli, T. Yapici, and K. Tollefson. Primordial Black Holes: Observational characteristics of the final evaporation. Astroparticle Physics, 80:90–114, July 2016.
- [7] Bernard Carr, Florian Kuhnel, and Marit Sandstad. Primordial Black Holes as Dark Matter. Phys. Rev., D94(8):083504, 2016.
- [8] Maxim Yu. Khlopov. Primordial Black Holes. Res. Astron. Astrophys., 10:495–528, 2010.
- [9] A. Albert et al. Constraining the Local Burst Rate Density of Primordial Black Holes with HAWC. *JCAP*, 04:026, 2020.
- [10] B. J. Carr, Kazunori Kohri, Yuuiti Sendouda, and Jun'ichi Yokoyama. New cosmological constraints on primordial black holes. *Phys. Rev.*, D81:104019, 2010.
- [11] The LIGO Scientific Collaboration and The Virgo Collaboration. Search for intermediate mass black hole binaries in the first observing run of Advanced LIGO. *Phys. Rev. D.*, 96:022001, 2017.
- [12] Juan García-Bellido. Massive primordial black holes as dark matter and their detection with gravitational waves. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 840:012032, may 2017.
- [13] Yuichiro Tada and Shuichiro Yokoyama. Primordial black hole tower: Dark matter, earth-mass, and LIGO black holes. *Phys. Rev.*, D100(2):023537, 2019.
- [14] Bernard Carr. Primordial black holes as dark matter and generators of cosmic structure. *Illuminating Dark Matter*, page 29–39, 2019.
- [15] Hiroko Niikura et al. Microlensing constraints on primordial black holes with Subaru/HSC Andromeda observations. *Nature Astron.*, 3(6):524–534, 2019.
- [16] A. A. Abdo et al. Milagro Limits and HAWC Sensitivity for the Rate-Density of Evaporating Primordial Black Holes. Astropart. Phys., 64:4–12, 2015.
- [17] Simon Archambault. Search for Primordial Black Hole Evaporation with VERITAS. *PoS*, ICRC2017:691, 2018.

- [18] J-F. Glicenstein, A. Barnacka, M. Vivier, and T. Herr. Limits on Primordial Black Hole evaporation with the H.E.S.S. array of Cherenkov telescopes. In *Proceedings*, 33rd International Cosmic Ray Conference (ICRC2013): Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, July 2-9, 2013, page 0930, 2013.
- [19] M. Ackermann et al. Search for Gamma-Ray Emission from Local Primordial Black Holes with the Fermi Large Area Telescope. Astrophys. J., 857(1):49, 2018.
- [20] E. T. Linton and et al. A new search for primordial black hole evaporations using the Whipple gammaray telescope. *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, 01:013, 2006.
- [21] D. E. Alexandreas and et al. New limit on the rate-density of evaporating black holes. *Physical Review Letters*, 71(16):2524, 1993.
- [22] M. Amenomori et al. Search for 10 TeV Gamma Bursts from Evaporating Primordial Black Holes with the Tibet Air Shower Array. In *Proceedings, 24th International Cosmic Ray Conference (ICRC1995): Rome, Italy, August 28 September 8, 1995*, page 112.

Authors: A.M. Albert (Los Alamos National Laboratory), L.H. Arnaldi (CNEA/IB, Argentina), J.C. Arteaga-Velázquez (Universidad Michoacana, Mexico), H.A. Ayala Solares (Pennsylvania State University, University Park), U. Barres de Almeida (CBPF, Brazil), S.Y. BenZvi (University of Rochester), C.A. Brisbois (University of Maryland, College Park), K.S. Caballero-Mora (UNACH, México), A. Carramiñana (INAOE, México), A. Chiavassa (Torino University, IT), R. Conceição (LIP/IST, Lisbon, Portugal), J.C. Díaz-Vélez (University of Wisconsin-Madison), B.L. Dingus (University of Maryland), M. Doro (University of Padova, IT), M. Durocher (Los Alamos National Laboratory), R.W. Ellsworth (University of Maryland, College Park), K.L. Engel (University of Maryland, College Park), C. Espinoza (UNAM, México), K.L. Fan (University of Maryland, College Park), N. Fraija (IA-UNAM, México), J.A. García-González (ITESM-EIC), G. Giacinti (MPIK, Germany), J.A. Goodman (University of Maryland, College Park), J.P. Harding (Los Alamos National Laboratory), R.N. Hix (University of Maryland, College Park), D.Z. Huang (Michigan Technological University, Houghton), P. Huentemeyer (Michigan Technological University, Houghton), F. Huevotl-Zahuantitla (UNACH, México), F. Longo (University and INFN Trieste), I. Martinez-Castellanos (NASA-GSFC/CRESST/UMD), J.A. Morales-Soto (Universidad Michoacana, Mexico), E. Moreno (BUAP, México), E. Mottola (Los Alamos National Laboratory), L. Nellen (ICN-UNAM, México), M.U. Nisa (Michigan State University, East Lansing), A. Pichel (Instituto de Astronomía y Física del Espacio, CONICET-UBA, Argentina), A. Sandoval (UNAM, México), M. Santander (University of Alabama, USA), M. Schneider (University of Maryland, College Park), A.J. Smith (University of Maryland, College Park), K. Tollefson (Michigan State University, East Lansing), R. Torres Escobedo (Universidad de Guadalajara, Mexico/Texas Tech University, Lubbock TX), Y.-D. Tsai (Fermilab), J. Vícha (FZU, Prague, Czech Republic), E.J. Willox (University of Maryland, College Park)