## Snowmass2021 - Letter of Interest

# *Probing Gravity with Type Ia Supernova Peculiar Velocities*

#### **Thematic Areas:** (check all that apply $\Box/\blacksquare$ )

- □ (CF1) Dark Matter: Particle Like
- □ (CF2) Dark Matter: Wavelike
- □ (CF3) Dark Matter: Cosmic Probes
- (CF4) Dark Energy and Cosmic Acceleration: The Modern Universe
- □ (CF5) Dark Energy and Cosmic Acceleration: Cosmic Dawn and Before
- (CF6) Dark Energy and Cosmic Acceleration: Complementarity of Probes and New Facilities
- □ (CF7) Cosmic Probes of Fundamental Physics
- □ (Other) [*Please specify frontier/topical group*]

#### **Contact Information:**

Submitter Name/Institution: Alex G. Kim / Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory Contact Email: agkim@lbl.gov

**Abstract:** In the upcoming decade cadenced wide-field imaging surveys will increase the number of identified Type Ia supernovae (SNe Ia) at z < 0.2 from hundreds up to one hundred thousand. The increase in the number density and solid-angle coverage of SNe Ia, in parallel with improvements in the standardization of their absolute magnitudes, now make them competitive probes of the growth of structure and hence of gravity. Each SN Ia can have a peculiar velocity  $S/N \sim 1$  with the distance probative power of 30 - 40galaxies, so a sample of 100,000 SNe Ia is equivalent to a survey of 3 million galaxies, in a redshift range where we currently have  $\leq 100,000$  galaxies. The peculiar velocity power spectrum is sensitive to the effect of gravity on the linear growth of structure. In the next decade the peculiar velocities of SNe Ia at z < 0.2can distinguish between General Relativity and leading models of alternative gravity at 4-5 $\sigma$  confidence. These constraints have the same statistical significance as those from DESI or Euclid, but are measured essentially at a given cosmic time rather than averaged over a broad range  $z \sim 1 - 2$ , and at low redshift where modifications of gravity may be most apparent. Together, SNe Ia and high-redshift peculiar velocity tracers are sensitive to physics beyond the standard  $\Lambda$ CDM cosmology. In the late 1990's, Type Ia supernovae (SNe Ia) were used as distance probes to measure the homogeneous expansion history of the Universe<sup>20;21</sup>. The remarkable discovery that the expansion is accelerating has called into question our basic understanding of the gravitational forces within the Universe. Either it is dominated by a "dark energy" that is gravitationally repulsive, or General Relativity is inadequate and needs to be replaced by a modified theory of gravity. In the upcoming decade, with their sheer numbers, solid-angle coverage, and improved distance precisions, SNe Ia will provide measurements of the *inhomogeneous* motions of structures in the Universe that will provide a novel test of whether dark energy or modified gravity is responsible for the accelerating expansion of the Universe.

Peculiar velocities are the motions of galaxies that are superimposed on top of the homogeneous expansion of the Universe. They are caused by the gravitational attraction of surrounding mass-density inhomogeneities. The strength of the gravitational pull can be inferred from peculiar velocities if those inhomogeneities are known.

There are two observational approaches to access peculiar velocities, Redshift Space Distortions (RSD) and distance indicators. Both hinge on the measurement of redshift, whose signal is a mix of the cosmological expansion and line-of-sight peculiar velocity. For RSD<sup>12</sup> (RSD), correlations in galaxy positions, which are isotropic in real space, appear distorted when redshift is used as a distance proxy along the line-of-sight. In this letter we highlight the use of distance indicators to measure peculiar velocity<sup>19</sup>. Given the background expansion (e.g. the linear Hubble law at low redshift), a distance corresponds to a cosmological redshift. The difference between the cosmological and the observed redshifts is attributed to peculiar velocity. An alternative perspective is that peculiar velocity appears as a "peculiar distance" on a Hubble diagram if the redshift measurement is taken at face value. Peculiar distance measurements are particularly sensitive at low-redshift, since velocity uncertainties scale with redshift given a fixed distance uncertainty. This is precisely the regime where RSD lacks sensitivity, due to the limited volume and sources with which to measure galaxy correlations.

Mass inhomogeneities must be mapped in order to measure the strength of gravity from peculiar velocities. One approach is to measure peculiar velocities and galaxy overdensities in the same volume. Direct comparison of the two fields then gives the strength of the gravitational pull. Alternatively, the statistical properties of local peculiar velocities can be compared with those of the mass overdensities at the CMB, since gravity models can connect the temporal evolution between the two.

The amplitude of peculiar velocities as measured with distance indicators is sensitive to the combination fD, where D is the spatially-independent, redshift-dependent "growth factor" in the linear evolution of density perturbations and  $f \equiv \frac{d \ln D}{d \ln a}$  is the linear growth rate where a is the scale factor<sup>6;11</sup>. The redshift-dependent fD depends on gravity; General Relativity, f(R), and DGP gravity follow the empirical relation  $f \approx \Omega_M^{\gamma}$  with constant  $\gamma = 0.55, 0.42, 0.68$  respectively, where  $\Omega_M$  is the normalized mass density <sup>16;17</sup>. Fixing the normalization of fD to the CMB, the predicted values of fD for different values of  $\gamma$ 's splay out at low redshift<sup>18</sup>, meaning that for a fixed fD uncertainty, it is the local universe that has the strongest probative power to resolve gravity models. This is the regime where peculiar distances are more effective than RSD in measuring peculiar velocities. Moreover, in many theories modifications of gravity are most prominent at low redshift.

Current and upcoming distance-based measurements of fD are based primarily on galaxies, which though plentiful have fairly imprecise distance precisions of ~ 22-26% per-object. Measurements of fDmade from comparing velocity and density fields in the local Universe with an effective redshift z = 0.035have 12% precision<sup>22</sup>. Using the same approach, SKA is projected to give a 3% precision<sup>15</sup> using Tully-Fisher (T-F) distances. Recent measurements<sup>1</sup> of fD using the statistical properties of 6dFGS Fundamental Plane (FP) peculiar velocities give 13.5% precision on fD at z < 0.057 (truncating at smaller scales than the preceding citations). In the near future, the TAIPAN survey<sup>5</sup> (with densities of  $n_a \sim 10^{-3}h^3 \,\mathrm{Mpc}^{-3}$ ) and the WALLABY+Apertif Shallow surveys ( $n_g \sim 2 \times 10^{-2} - 10^{-4} h^3 \,\text{Mpc}^{-3}$ ), each covering 75% of the sky out to z = 0-0.1, are together projected <sup>10</sup> to yield 3% uncertainties in fD.

Two advances in the upcoming decade will make SN Ia peculiar velocities a competitive probe of the growth of structure and gravity. First, the precision of SN Ia distances can be improved. The commonly-used empirical 2-parameter spectral model yields absolute magnitude dispersion  $\sigma_M \gtrsim 0.14$  mag (7% distance uncertainty). However, SNe transmit more information than just the light-curve shape and single color used in current SN models. Recent studies<sup>3;4;8</sup> indicate that with the right data, SN absolute magnitudes can be calibrated to  $\sigma_M \lesssim 0.08$  mag (4% distance uncertainty). At this precision one supernova has the probative power of 30(42) T-F (FP) galaxies (or 10(14) galaxies for  $\sigma_M = 0.14$ ), and can measure a peculiar velocity with  $S/N \sim 1$ . Second, in the upcoming decade cadenced wide-field imaging surveys such as ASAS-SN, ATLAS, ZTF-II and the Vera C. Rubin Observatory LSST will increase the number of identified Type Ia supernovae from the hundreds to the hundreds of thousands; over the course of 10-years, LSST will find ~150,000 at z < 0.2, and ~520,000 at z < 0.3 SNe Ia, corresponding to a number density of  $n \sim 5 \times 10^{-4} h^3$  Mpc<sup>-3</sup>. This sample has comparable number density and more SN-host galaxies at deeper redshifts than projected by WALLABY and TAIPAN. Even with half the number density, a ten-year SN Ia survey will have a ~ 20× reduction in shot-noise relative to TAIPAN<sup>13</sup>.

Given these advances, supernovae discovered by wide-field searches in the next decade will be able to tightly constrain the growth of structure in the low-redshift Universe. For example, over the course of a decade a SN survey relying on LSST discoveries plus spectroscopic redshifts can produce 4–14% uncertainties in fD in 0.05 redshift bins from z = 0 to 0.3, cumulatively giving 2.2% uncertainty on fDwithin this interval, where at 0 < z < 0.2 most of the probative power comes from peculiar velocities and at higher redshifts from RSD<sup>9</sup>. Systematics affecting high-z analysis are suppressed in this restricted redshift range. For reference, DESI projects a 10% precision of fD at  $z \approx 0.3$  using RSD<sup>7</sup>.

In the short to medium term, SN Ia discoveries by ASAS-SN, ATLAS, ZTF-II (or beginning LSST) can reach completeness at a depth of  $z_{max} = 0.09$  and cover  $\Omega \approx 2\pi$  of extragalactic sky. With follow-up that gets 4% per-object distance uncertainties, these SNe Ia can distinguish between the above gravity models (i.e.  $\Delta \gamma = 0.13$ ) to 2–3 $\sigma$ . A long-term supernova peculiar-velocity survey can be performed with SNe Ia discovered in the LSST Wide Fast Deep survey. As a 10-year survey, LSST generates higher supernova number densities to fainter limiting magnitude, making possible significantly improved constraints on the growth index. Uncertainties in  $\gamma$  for surveys with a 10-year duration, redshift depth of 0.2, and  $\Omega = 2\pi$ sky coverage, with appropriate follow-up, are  $\pm 0.02^{14}$ . LSST-discovered supernovae can thus distinguish between the aforementioned modified gravity models to 4–5 $\sigma$ . The redshift depth afforded by LSST provides significant improvement relative to the shallower surveys. Although the probative power per object decreases with redshift, as does the leverage on  $\gamma$ , the additional volume provided by LSST is needed to overcome the slow gain that comes from increased numbers of SNe at z < 0.1 after several years.

High-redshift peculiar velocity measurements from RSD highly complement those from distance indicators. RSD has higher signal-to-noise at high redshift, and can probe both shorter and longer length scales than those easily modeled or available in the more evolved, smaller volume of the local Universe. This synergy is particularly powerful when testing for physics beyond  $\Lambda$ CDM. For example, the area of the  $\Omega_M - \gamma$ error contour when marginalizing over the dark energy of state with  $w_0 - w_a$  is reduced by a factor of several in a joint SN Ia–RSD analysis versus treating them independently<sup>14</sup>.

We advocate that SN Ia Peculiar Velocity surveys be included in the long-term planning of the HEP program. It will provide a unique and powerful low-redshift probe of modified gravity and help distinguish theories beyond the cosmological  $\Lambda$ CDM standard model<sup>2;14</sup>. The survey is enabled by upcoming wide-field long-term transient searches such as ZTF-II and the LSST, and is synergistic with current (DESI) and high-redshift redshift surveys also being put forward as part of the Snowmass process.

### References

- [1] Caitlin Adams and Chris Blake. Joint growth-rate measurements from redshift-space distortions and peculiar velocities in the 6dF Galaxy Survey. *MNRAS*, 494(3):3275–3293, April 2020.
- [2] Santiago Avila et al. The Completed SDSS-IV extended Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey: exploring the Halo Occupation Distribution model of Emission Line Galaxies. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2007.09012, July 2020.
- [3] R. L. Barone-Nugent et al. Near-infrared observations of Type Ia supernovae: the best known standard candle for cosmology. MNRAS, 425:1007–1012, September 2012.
- [4] K. Boone et al. ApJ, submitted, 2020.
- [5] Elisabete da Cunha et al. The Taipan Galaxy Survey: Scientific Goals and Observing Strategy. *Publications of the Astronomical Society of Australia*, 34:e047, October 2017.
- [6] T. M. Davis et al. The Effect of Peculiar Velocities on Supernova Cosmology. *ApJ*, 741:67, November 2011.
- [7] DESI Collaboration. The DESI Experiment Part I: Science, Targeting, and Survey Design. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1611.00036, October 2016.
- [8] H. K. Fakhouri et al. Improving Cosmological Distance Measurements Using Twin Type Ia Supernovae. *ApJ*, 815:58, December 2015.
- [9] Cullan Howlett, Aaron S. G. Robotham, Claudia D. P. Lagos, and Alex G. Kim. Measuring the Growth Rate of Structure with Type IA Supernovae from LSST. *ApJ*, 847:128, October 2017.
- [10] Cullan Howlett, Lister Staveley-Smith, and Chris Blake. Cosmological forecasts for combined and next-generation peculiar velocity surveys. *MNRAS*, 464:2517–2544, January 2017.
- [11] L. Hui and P. B. Greene. Correlated fluctuations in luminosity distance and the importance of peculiar motion in supernova surveys. *Phys. Rev. D*, 73(12):123526, June 2006.
- [12] Nick Kaiser. Clustering in real space and in redshift space. MNRAS, 227:1–21, July 1987.
- [13] A. G. Kim et al. A network to probe gravity with type ia supernova peculiar velocities. Snowmass 2020 LOI, 2020.
- [14] Alex G. Kim and Eric V. Linder. Complementarity of peculiar velocity surveys and redshift space distortions for testing gravity. *Phys. Rev. D*, 101(2):023516, January 2020.
- [15] Jun Koda et al. Are peculiar velocity surveys competitive as a cosmological probe? *MNRAS*, 445(4):4267–4286, December 2014.
- [16] E. V. Linder and R. N. Cahn. Parameterized beyond-Einstein growth. Astroparticle Physics, 28:481– 488, December 2007.
- [17] Eric V. Linder. Cosmic growth history and expansion history. Phys. Rev. D, 72:043529, Aug 2005.
- [18] Eric V. Linder. Testing dark matter clustering with redshift space distortions. *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, 2013(04):031, 2013.

- [19] P. J. E. Peebles. The Peculiar Velocity Field in the Local Supercluster. ApJ, 205:318–328, April 1976.
- [20] S. Perlmutter et al. Measurements of Omega and Lambda from 42 High-Redshift Supernovae. *ApJ*, 517:565–586, June 1999.
- [21] A. G. Riess et al. Observational Evidence from Supernovae for an Accelerating Universe and a Cosmological Constant. AJ, 116:1009–1038, September 1998.
- [22] Khaled Said, Matthew Colless, Christina Magoulas, John R. Lucey, and Michael J. Hudson. Joint analysis of 6dFGS and SDSS peculiar velocities for the growth rate of cosmic structure and tests of gravity. MNRAS, 497(1):1275–1293, July 2020.

**Authors:** Greg Aldering (LBNL); Pierre Antilogus (CNRS/IN2P3); Segev BenZvi (Rochester); Rahul Biswas (Stockholm); Helene M. Courtois (Lyon, IP2I/IN2P3); Kelly Douglas (Rochester); Hume Feldman (Kansas); Simone Ferraro (LBNL); Lluís Galbany (Granada); Satya Gontcho A Gontcho (Rochester); Or Graur (Portsmouth); Julien Guy (LBNL); ChangHoon Hahn (Berkeley); Renée Hložek (Toronto); Cullan Howlett (Queensland); Xiaosheng Huang (San Francisco); Alex G. Kim (LBNL); Anthony Kremin (LBNL); Benjamin L'Huillier (Yonsei); Pierre-François Léget (CNRS/IN2P3); Eric V. Linder (Berkeley); Jakob Nordin (Humboldt); Antonella Palmese (FNAL); Mickael Rigault (CNRS/IN2P3); David Rubin (Hawaiʻi), Khaled Said (Queensland); Arman Shafieloo (KASI); Brent Tully (Hawaiʻi); Lifan Wang (Texas A&M)