

Snowmass LOI: Displaying dark matter constraints from colliders with varying simplified model parameters

Andreas Albert,¹ Antonio Boveia,² Oleg Brandt,^{3,*} Eric Corrigan,⁴ Zeynep Demiragli,¹ Caterina Doglioni,⁴ Boyu Gao,² Ulrich Haisch,^{5,*} Philip Harris,^{6,*} Jeffrey Krupa,⁶ Greg Landsberg,⁷ Alexander Moreno,⁷ Katherine Pachal,⁹ Priscilla Pani,^{10,*} Tim M. P. Tait,^{11,*} David Yu,⁷ Felix Yu,¹² Lian-Tao Wang¹³

¹Boston University, Dept. of Physics, 590 Commonwealth Avenue, Boston, MA 02215, USA

²Ohio State University and Center for Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics, 191 W. Woodruff Avenue Columbus, OH 43210, USA

³Cavendish Laboratory, JJ Thomson Avenue, Cambridge CB3 0HE, UK

⁴Fysiska institutionen, Lunds universitet, Professorsgatan 1, Lund, Sweden

⁵Max Planck Institute for Physics, Föhringer Ring 6, 80805 München, Germany

⁶Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA, USA

⁷Brown University, Dept. of Physics, 182 Hope St, Providence, RI 02912, USA

⁷Universidad Antonio Nariño, Facultad de Ciencias, Departamento de Física, Carrera 10 No. 17-35, Ibagué, Colombia

⁹Duke University, Durham, NC 27708, USA

¹⁰DESY Zeuthen, Platanenallee 6, 15738 Zeuthen, Germany

¹¹University of California Irvine, Irvine, CA 92697, USA

¹²Institut für Physik WA THEP, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, Staudingerweg 7, 55128 Mainz, Germany

¹³Department of Physics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL. 60637 USA

*LHC DM WG conveners

Contact address: lhc-dmwg-contributors-couplingscan@cern.ch

Dark matter (DM) is one of the main science drivers of the particle and astroparticle physics community. Determining the nature of DM will require a broad approach, with multiple experiments pursuing different experimental hypotheses.

DM particles could be produced at particle colliders, and in such a case DM searches at collider experiments provide insight on DM complementary to searches in direct and indirect detection experiments, and to astrophysical evidence.

In order to compare results from a wide variety of experiments, a common theoretical framework is required. Among the numerous theoretical models that describe DM (see e.g. [1], and [2, 3] for collider-focused reviews), the ATLAS and CMS experiments at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) have adopted a series of simplified models that include DM particles as benchmarks for their searches [4].

In these models, the interaction between Standard Model (SM) and the DM particles is mediated by a new particle, called a mediator. The interaction strength is controlled by the couplings of the mediator to DM and to SM particles.

So far, the presentation of LHC results as well as the presentation of projections of future hadron collider experiments has focused on four benchmark scenarios with different choices of couplings to quarks and leptons, as recommended by the Dark Matter Working Group [5, 6].

In this work, we plan to describe methods to extend those four benchmark scenarios to scenarios with arbitrary couplings, and release the corresponding code for use in further studies and projections of collider DM searches in the framework of simplified models. This will extend the applicability of the comparisons of collider searches to accelerator experiments that are sensitive to smaller couplings, and give a more complete picture of the coupling dependence of the sensitivity of DM collider searches when compared to direct and indirect detection searches. By using semi-analytical methods to model the dependence, we plan to drastically reduce the need for computing resources relative to traditional approaches based on the generation of additional simulated signal samples.

We plan to focus on s -channel DM simplified models, where the mediator particle has either vector, axial-vector, scalar or pseudoscalar couplings with DM and SM particles. This work will cover collider searches for visible decays of the mediator particles, as well as for searches targeting the invisible particles via the associated production of one or more SM particles [7, 8].

References

- [1] J. L. Feng, *Dark Matter Candidates from Particle Physics and Methods of Detection*, *Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics* **48** (2010), no. 1 495–545, [[arXiv:1003.0904](#)].
- [2] F. Kahlhoefer, *Review of LHC Dark Matter Searches*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A32** (2017), no. 13 1730006, [[arXiv:1702.02430](#)].
- [3] A. Boveia and C. Doglioni, *Dark Matter Searches at Colliders*, *Annual Review of Nuclear and Particle Science* **68** (2018), no. 1 429–459, [[arXiv:1810.12238](#)].

- [4] D. Abercrombie et al., *Dark Matter benchmark models for early LHC Run-2 Searches: Report of the ATLAS/CMS Dark Matter Forum*, *Physics of the Dark Universe* **27** (2020) 100371, [[arXiv:1507.00966](https://arxiv.org/abs/1507.00966)].
- [5] A. Boveia et al., *Recommendations on presenting LHC searches for missing transverse energy signals using simplified s-channel models of dark matter*, *Physics of the Dark Universe* **27** (2020) 100365, [[arXiv:1603.04156](https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.04156)].
- [6] A. Albert et al., *Recommendations of the LHC Dark Matter Working Group: Comparing LHC searches for dark matter mediators in visible and invisible decay channels and calculations of the thermal relic density*, *Physics of the Dark Universe* **26** (2019) 100377, [[arXiv:1703.05703](https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.05703)].
- [7] **ATLAS** Collaboration, *Dark matter summary plots : update July 2020*, Tech. Rep. ATL-PHYS-PUB-2020-021, CERN, Geneva, Jul, 2020. <http://cds.cern.ch/record/2725266>.
- [8] **CMS** Collaboration, “Dark Matter Searches from CMS.” <http://cms-results.web.cern.ch/cms-results/public-results/publications/EXO/DM.html>.