

New Heavy Scalars through Pair Production at High-Energy Colliders

Matthew Feickert¹, Mark Neubauer¹, and Jason Veitch²

¹University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Champaign, USA

²Georg-August-Universität, Göttingen, Germany

1 Introduction

The large collision energy and Higgs boson h discovery at the LHC [1, 2] bring exciting and relatively new elements into our field which dramatically extend the reach for new physics through the study of multi-boson production. Spin and couplings measurements of h [3–7] are consistent with a SM Higgs boson [8–13] with a mass of 125 GeV [14]. A detailed understanding of the mechanism of electroweak symmetry breaking (EWSB) is a primary goal of the (HL-)LHC. While the Higgs boson discovery and measurements provide insight on EWSB, there is substantial room for new physics to which multi-boson production is sensitive.

Higgs bosons can be pair-produced in the SM via fermion or boson loops (box) or the h self-interaction. Observing the $h \rightarrow hh$ process and establishing the strength of the h trilinear self-coupling (λ_{hhh}) will be a definitive test of EWSB and one of the primary physics goals for the HL-LHC and beyond. Although $\sigma(hh)$ is very small in the SM due to destructive interference between the box and h self-interaction diagrams [15, 16] and impossible to measure with current data, in SM extensions $\sigma(hh)$ can be enhanced. Modifications to the top Yukawa coupling or λ_{hhh} can enhance non-resonant hh production. Other theories predict heavy resonances decaying into hh , such as a heavy spin-0 scalar (H) in 2HDM models [17] and spin-2 Kaluza-Klein (KK) excitations of the graviton G_{KK}^* in the bulk Randall-Sundrum model [18, 19]. In SM extensions with an additional real [20] or complex [21] scalar field S , resonant hS and SS production [22–24] can lead to an enhanced hh rate, motivating the *broad search* for pairs of scalar bosons with the same mass (hh or SS) or *different* masses (hS) and this Letter of Interest.

There is increasing interest in hS and SS as promising pathways to early discovery at the (HL-)LHC as compared to restricting searches to only pairs of on-shell 125 GeV Higgs bosons (h). Assuming SM-like couplings, $bbWW$ will be the dominant hS decay mode for $m_S \gtrsim 135$ GeV and will be one of the two dominant SS decay modes for $135 \text{ GeV} \lesssim m_S \lesssim 160$ GeV. Theorists are strongly encouraging experimentalists to further explore the rich possibilities of extra scalars, especially to provide a stringent test of the Next-to-Minimal Supersymmetric SM (NMSSM) [25].

2 Opportunities and Outlook

We propose a study as part of Snowmass to will explore the challenges and opportunities of new physics searches in $X \rightarrow hS$ and $X \rightarrow SS$ production. This should involve a comprehensive phenomenological study to explore the most promising final states and production modes over the m_X and m_S parameter space.

The $bbWW$ channel poses a wide range of final state topologies that can be exploited to maximize sensitivity to hS and SS production. The $S \rightarrow WW^{(*)}$ final state can be categorized by the number of final state leptons, 0, 1 and 2. Furthermore, it can be categorized by the angular distributions of the W decay products. For small m_S and small p_T^S , the decay products will all be well-separated in the detector (resolved). For $p_T^S \gg m_S$, the decay products will have very small angular separation (boosted). In the limiting case of large m_S and small p_T^S , the W s will be well-separated but individually boosted (split-boosted).

A comprehensive search will require developing and implementing cutting-edge techniques to exploit the entire range of possible final states. These include machine-learning (ML) techniques to identify and reconstruct the 2-lepton decay of S with an unknown mass, novel techniques to identify real leptons overlapping with real boosted hadronically decaying W bosons in the boosted 1-lepton topology, and using advanced ML techniques to identify the very dense 4-prong decays of highly boosted 0-lepton $S \rightarrow WW$ decays.

In addition to exploiting the $S \rightarrow WW$ decay topologies, there are many opportunities to improve the identification of $h \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ and $S \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ decays. One of the limiting factors in existing searches that include a resolved $h \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ decay is the minimum p_T threshold for b -tagged small- R jets. Novel approaches such as using variable- R jets built from charged particle tracks could be used to overcome this limitation. For boosted $S \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ decays (necessary for SS searches), ML techniques can be used to develop a mass-agnostic tagger.

References

1. G. Aad [ATLAS Collaboration], “Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC”, *Phys. Lett. B* **716** (2012) 1, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012.08.020, arXiv:1207.7214.
2. S. Chatrchyan [CMS Collaboration], “Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC”, *Phys. Lett. B* **716** (2012) 30–61, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012.08.021, arXiv:1207.7235.
3. G. Aad [ATLAS Collaboration], “Measurements of Higgs boson production and couplings in diboson final states with the ATLAS detector at the LHC”, *Phys. Lett. B* **726** (2013) 88–119, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2013.08.010, arXiv:1307.1427.
4. G. Aad [ATLAS Collaboration], “Study of the spin and parity of the Higgs boson in diboson decays with the ATLAS detector”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75** (2015), no. 10, 476, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-015-3685-1, 10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-3934-y, arXiv:1506.05669. [Erratum: *Eur. Phys. J. C*76,no.3,152(2016)].
5. S. C. [CMS Collaboration], “Evidence for the direct decay of the 125 GeV Higgs boson to fermions”, *Nature Phys.* **10** (2014) doi:10.1038/nphys3005, arXiv:1401.6527.
6. S. C. [CMS Collaboration], “Measurement of the properties of a Higgs boson in the four-lepton final state”, *Phys.Rev. D* **89** (2014) 092007, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.89.092007, arXiv:1312.5353.
7. S. C. [CMS Collaboration], “Measurement of Higgs boson production and properties in the WW decay channel with leptonic final states”, *JHEP* **01** (2014) 096, doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2014)096, arXiv:1312.1129.
8. F. Englert and R. Brout, “Broken symmetry and the mass of gauge vector mesons”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **13** (1964) 321–323, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.13.321.
9. P. W. Higgs, “Broken symmetries, massless particles and gauge fields”, *Phys. Lett.* **12** (1964) 132–133, doi:10.1016/0031-9163(64)91136-9.
10. P. W. Higgs, “Broken symmetries and the masses of gauge bosons”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **13** (1964) 508–509, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.13.508.
11. P. W. Higgs, “Spontaneous symmetry breakdown without massless bosons”, *Phys. Rev.* **145** (1966) 1156–1163, doi:10.1103/PhysRev.145.1156.
12. G. Guralnik, C. Hagen, and T. Kibble, “Global conservation laws and massless particles”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **13** (1964) 585–587, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.13.585.
13. T. Kibble, “Symmetry breaking in non-Abelian gauge theories”, *Phys. Rev.* **155** (1967) 1554–1561, doi:10.1103/PhysRev.155.1554.
14. G. Aad [ATLAS and CMS Collaborations], “Combined Measurement of the Higgs Boson Mass in pp Collisions at $\sqrt{s}=7$ and 8 TeV with the ATLAS and CMS Experiments”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114** (2015), no. 191803, arXiv:1503.07589.
15. S. Borowka et al., “Full top quark mass dependence in Higgs boson pair production at NLO”, *JHEP* **10** (2016) 107, doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2016)107, arXiv:1608.04798.
16. LHC Higgs Cross Section Working Group Collaboration, “Handbook of LHC Higgs Cross Sections: 4. Deciphering the Nature of the Higgs Sector”, arXiv:1610.07922.
17. G. Branco et al., “Theory and phenomenology of two-Higgs-doublet models”, *Phys. Rept.* **516** (2012) 1–102, doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2012.02.002, arXiv:1106.0034.
18. K. Agashe, H. Davoudiasl, G. Perez, and A. Soni, “Warped Gravitons at the LHC and Beyond”, *Phys. Rev. D* **76** (2007) 036006, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.76.036006, arXiv:hep-ph/0701186.
19. A. L. Fitzpatrick, J. Kaplan, L. Randall, and L.-T. Wang, “Searching for the Kaluza-Klein Graviton in Bulk RS Models”, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **09** (2007) 013, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2007/09/013, arXiv:hep-ph/0701150.
20. S. von Buddenbrock et al., “Phenomenological signatures of additional scalar bosons at the LHC”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** (2016), no. 10, 580, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-4435-8, arXiv:1606.01674.
21. S. Dawson and M. Sullivan, “Enhanced di-higgs boson production in the complex higgs singlet model”, *Phys. Rev. D* **97** (Jan, 2018) 015022, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.97.015022.
22. T. Robens, T. Stefaniak, and J. Wittbrodt, “Two-real-scalar-singlet extension of the SM: LHC phenomenology and benchmark scenarios”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** (2020), no. 2, 151, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-7655-x, arXiv:1908.08554.
23. S. Dawson and M. Sullivan, “Enhanced di-Higgs boson production in the complex Higgs singlet model”, *Phys. Rev. D* **97** (2018), no. 1, 015022, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.97.015022, arXiv:1711.06683.
24. M. Muehlleitner, M. O. Sampaio, R. Santos, and J. Wittbrodt, “Phenomenological Comparison of Models with Extended Higgs Sectors”, *JHEP* **08** (2017) 132, doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2017)132, arXiv:1703.07750.

25. P. Basler, S. Dawson, C. Englert, and M. Muehleitner, “Showcasing HH production: Benchmarks for the LHC and HL-LHC”, *Phys. Rev. D* **99** (2019), no. 5, 055048, [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.99.055048](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.055048), [arXiv:1812.03542](https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.03542).