## Coupling Experiment and Simulation to Model Non-Equilibrium Quasiparticle Dynamics in Superconductors

A. Agrawal<sup>9</sup>, D. Bowring<sup>\*1</sup>, R. Bunker<sup>2</sup>, L. Cardani<sup>3</sup>, G. Carosi<sup>4</sup>, C.L. Chang<sup>15</sup>, M. Cecchin<sup>1</sup>, A. Chou<sup>1</sup>, G. D'Imperio<sup>3</sup>, A. Dixit<sup>9</sup>, J.L DuBois<sup>4</sup>, L. Faoro<sup>16,7</sup>, S. Golwala<sup>6</sup>, J. Hall<sup>13,14</sup>, S. Hertel<sup>12</sup>, Y. Hochberg<sup>11</sup>, L. Ioffe<sup>5</sup>, R. Khatiwada<sup>1</sup>, E. Kramer<sup>11</sup>, N. Kurinsky<sup>1</sup>, B. Lehmann<sup>10</sup>, B. Loer<sup>2</sup>, V. Lordi<sup>4</sup>, R. McDermott<sup>7</sup>, J.L. Orrell<sup>2</sup>, M. Pyle<sup>17</sup>, K. G. Ray<sup>4</sup>, Y. J. Rosen<sup>4</sup>, A. Sonnenschein<sup>1</sup>, A. Suzuki<sup>8</sup>, C. Tomei<sup>3</sup>, C. Wilen<sup>7</sup>, and N. Woollett<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory <sup>2</sup>Pacific Northwest National Laboratory <sup>3</sup>Sapienza University of Rome <sup>4</sup>Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory <sup>5</sup>Google, Inc. <sup>6</sup>*California Institute of Technology* <sup>7</sup>University of Wisconsin, Madison <sup>8</sup>*Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory* <sup>9</sup>University of Chicago <sup>10</sup>University of California, Santa Cruz <sup>11</sup>*Hebrew University of Jerusalem* <sup>12</sup>University of Massachusetts, Amherst <sup>13</sup>SNOLAB <sup>14</sup>Laurentian University <sup>15</sup>Argonne National Laboratory <sup>16</sup>Laboratoire de Physique Therique et Hautes Energies, Sorbornne Universite <sup>17</sup>University of California, Berkeley

August 31, 2020

**Thematic Areas:** (check all that apply  $\Box/\blacksquare$ )

- (CF1) Dark Matter: Particle Like
- (CF2) Dark Matter: Wavelike
- (IF1) Quantum Sensors
- (IF2) Photon Detectors
- (IF9) Cross Cutting and Systems Integration
- (UF02) Underground Facilities for Cosmic Frontier
- (UF05) Synergistic Research

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: dbowring@fnal.gov

## Abstract

In superconducting devices, broken Cooper pairs (quasiparticles) may be considered signal (e.g., transition edge sensors, kinetic inductance detectors) or noise (e.g., quantum sensors, qubits). In order to improve design for these devices, a better understanding of quasiparticle production and transport is required. We propose a multi-disciplinary collaboration to perform measurements in low-background facilities that will be used to improve modeling and simulation tools, suggest new measurements, and drive the design of future improved devices.

Broken Cooper pairs, or quasiparticles (QPs), are an important source of loss and decoherence in superconducting devices. This loss mechanism underpins devices like transition edge sensors, which are currently used in low-threshold dark matter searches [1, 2]. Alternatively, this loss can be problematic in devices such as superconducting qubits, which benefit from long coherence times [3, 4]. Whether nonequilibrium QPs are considered a bug or a feature in any given superconducting device, we posit that a broad range of the particle physics community would benefit, directly or indirectly, from an improved understanding of the mechanisms by which QPs instantiate, propagate, diffuse, and decay. Others outside of HEP, such as researchers in condensed matter and quantum information science, also stand to benefit.

Naively, when a superconducting device is in equilibrium with its cryogenic environment well below the superconducting critical temperature, one expects an exponentially small density of thermally excited QPs. However, a large body of work across a range of superconducting devices reveals the presence of a high density of QPs far in excesss of that expected at thermal equilibrium [5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. Explaining the origin of these nonequilibrium QPs and mitigating them is an ongoing concern across multiple STEM disciplines. For many devices, a rough requirement is that charge and phonons from MeV-scale energy deposits cannot create a single QP in a superconducting resonator, **corresponding to an energy rejection factor of one part in 10<sup>9</sup>**. Understanding the myriad ways in which these high-energy events couple into superconducting devices thus requires precise modeling of the microphysical processes which mediate pair-breaking, with the goal of tracking down the minute fraction of the initial energy deposit creating excess QP density and spoiling the state of the superconductor. Conversely, various applications may seek to maximize one mode of coupling (e.g. IR photons) while minimizing others (e.g. phonons) in order to achieve sensitivity to a desired set of single quanta without becoming susceptible to a large excess QP background.

Environmental radioactivity has been shown to limit the performance of superconducting devices through dissipative QP generation [10, 11], and ionizing radiation specifically has been recently proposed as a QP generating mechanism [12, 13, 14]. Cosmic rays – and also the decay products of the radioactive contaminants naturally present in the laboratory environment – can deposit energy in the superconducting circuit or, more probably, in the substrate on which the circuit is fabricated. This deposited energy is converted into charge and phonons, which diffuse through the substrate and can be absorbed by the superconducting circuit.

This phenomenon is the working principle of many physics detectors [15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21] and has several important consequences. Energy absorption increases the density of QPs, thus limiting the coherence time of the device under test. For example, Ref [13] recently showed that, if not suppressed with proper shields, environmental radioactivity could prevent qubit coherence times longer than milliseconds. In addition, such events can cause simultaneous flips of many qubits following an energy deposit in the substrate, which is a serious complication for current quantum error correction strategies that assume spatially uncorrelated errors in the qubits belonging to the same matrix [14]. In Ref [14], the operation of superconducting circuits in a deep underground laboratory reduced the number of QP bursts by a factor of  $\sim$ 50 resulting in an improvement of the devices' internal quality factor by  $2-3\times$ . This seems to suggest that the shielding of environmental radioactivity – and, concurrently, an improved understanding of nonequilibrium QP dynamics in these contexts – will be a growing concern for multiple physics disciplines.

One area where nonequilibrium QP dynamics will be of concern is quantum sensing, for HEP and for other fields. For example, there is growing interest in the use of superconducting qubits to search for axion dark matter [22, 23, 24, 25]. In this context, environmental sources of device decoherence impose lower limits on statistical errors associated with signal integration. But also, there is evidence to suggest that QP bursts may impart energy to superconducting qubits, leading to spurious state transitions – an extra source of systematic error [4]. (In the context of superconducting qubit arrays that are being pursued for fault-tolerant quantum computing, burst events from high-energy gamma rays or cosmic ray muons that are absorbed in the substrate generate nonequilibrium QPs that couple simultaneously to multiple qubits over  $\sim$ mm length scales, leading to spatially correlated bit-flip errors that are particularly damaging for proposed quantum error correction protocols.

In addition, many experiments which probe CMB [26, 27], real-time astrophysical spectroscopy [28, 29], and particle dark matter physics [30, 31, 32, 33] employ superconducting resonators and trap-assisted TESs to detect absorbed power either directly from photons or from phonons generated in the target crystal. In the former case, coupling of phonons into the sensors causes a power resolution degradation in a similar manner to decoherence in qubits [34]. In the latter case, maximizing the phonon coupling to the sensor, and understanding spatial quasiparticle diffusion and energy transport, are crucial to maximizing energy sensitivity to achieve thresholds comparable to tens of quasiparticles worth of energy. Both applications need improved tools to inform detector design in order to better optimize their sensors for a given application.

All together, the above considerations have led us to identify a number of related opportunities for HEP, and for the broader physics community:

- 1. More theoretical work is needed to understand QP dynamics across a broader range of materials, interfaces and environments [35, 3, 36]. Existing theoretical frameworks are not suited to modeling three-dimensional kinematics of quasiparticle-phonon interactions in realistic physical systems. We as a community must support broader education in superconductor theory and the further development of scattering theory for quasiparticle-phonon interactions.
- Common simulation tools have not kept pace with experimental developments in quantum sensing. Mature quantum computing research requires open-source simulation packages (similar to GEANT for particle physics, SPICE/Cadence for semiconductors, and multiphysics packages for E&M) to streamline the design process and allow solved problems to be modeled in simulations.
- 3. Integrated microfabrication efforts are required to realize test devices and mitigation solutions motivated by items 1 and 2.
- 4. A set of well-controlled and characterized facilities to separate external environmental coupling from intrinsic device performance. Critical to this are standards and metrology for ionizing radiation, nonionizing radiation, stray electromagnetic fields, and vibration. With robust standards and metrology, experiments can be better compared to each other and to theory and simulations for both particle physics and superconducting device development.

We believe that these challenges are best addressed by a multidisciplinary collaboration. Next-generation experiments and metrological techniques will require a more robust understanding of these processes across a range of disciplines and skills. More understanding is needed of the interplay between ionizing radiation, phonon dynamics, and quasiparticle bursts in superconducting sensors. The intent of this LOI is to bring together leading experts from the pertinent field to write a white paper summarizing current understanding of each of the points outlined above, and reach a consensus on the best path forward to enhancing collaboration going forward.

## References

- T Saab, RM Clarke, B Cabrera, RA Abusaidi, and R Gaitskell. Design of qet phonon sensors for the cdms zip detectors. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment*, 444(1-2):300–303, 2000.
- [2] JJ Yen, JM Kreikebaum, Betty A Young, Blas Cabrera, R Moffatt, P Redl, B Shank, PL Brink, M Cherry, and Astrid Tomada. Quasiparticle transport in thick aluminum films coupled to tungsten transition edge sensors. *Journal of Low Temperature Physics*, 184(1):30–37, 2016.
- [3] G Catelani, Simon E Nigg, SM Girvin, RJ Schoelkopf, and LI Glazman. Decoherence of superconducting qubits caused by quasiparticle tunneling. *Physical Review B*, 86(18):184514, 2012.
- [4] K. Serniak, M. Hays, G. de Lange, S. Diamond, S. Shankar, L.D. Burkhart, L. Frunzio, M. Houzet, and M.H. Devoret. Hot nonequilibrium quasiparticles in transmon qubits. *Physical Review Letters*, 121(15), Oct 2018.
- [5] J. Aumentado, Mark W. Keller, John M. Martinis, and M. H. Devoret. Nonequilibrium quasiparticles and 2*e* periodicity in single-cooper-pair transistors. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 92:066802, Feb 2004.
- [6] K. Segall, C. Wilson, L. Li, L. Frunzio, S. Friedrich, M. C. Gaidis, and D. E. Prober. Dynamics and energy distribution of nonequilibrium quasiparticles in superconducting tunnel junctions. *Phys. Rev. B*, 70:214520, Dec 2004.
- [7] I. Nsanzineza and B. L. T. Plourde. Trapping a single vortex and reducing quasiparticles in a superconducting resonator. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 113:117002, Sep 2014.
- [8] Chen Wang, Yvonne Y Gao, Ioan M Pop, Uri Vool, Chris Axline, Teresa Brecht, Reinier W Heeres, Luigi Frunzio, Michel H Devoret, Gianluigi Catelani, et al. Measurement and control of quasiparticle dynamics in a superconducting qubit. *Nature communications*, 5(1):1–7, 2014.
- [9] D Ristè, CC Bultink, MJ Tiggelman, RN Schouten, KW Lehnert, and L DiCarlo. Millisecond chargeparity fluctuations and induced decoherence in a superconducting transmon qubit. *Nature communications*, 4(1):1–6, 2013.
- [10] Antonio D Córcoles, Jerry M Chow, Jay M Gambetta, Chad Rigetti, James R Rozen, George A Keefe, Mary Beth Rothwell, Mark B Ketchen, and Matthias Steffen. Protecting superconducting qubits from radiation. *Applied Physics Letters*, 99(18):181906, 2011.
- [11] Rami Barends, James Wenner, Michael Lenander, Yu Chen, Radoslaw C Bialczak, Julian Kelly, Erik Lucero, Peter O'Malley, Matteo Mariantoni, Daniel Sank, et al. Minimizing quasiparticle generation from stray infrared light in superconducting quantum circuits. *Applied Physics Letters*, 99(11):113507, 2011.
- [12] L. Cardani et al. Demetra: Suppression of the relaxation induced by radioactivity in superconducting qubits. *Journal of Low Temperature Physics*, 199(1):475–481, 2020.
- [13] Antti P. Vepsäläinen, Amir H. Karamlou, John L. Orrell, Akshunna S. Dogra, Ben Loer, Francisca Vasconcelos, David K. Kim, Alexander J. Melville, Bethany M. Niedzielski, Jonilyn L. Yoder, Simon Gustavsson, Joseph A. Formaggio, Brent A. VanDevender, and William D. Oliver. Impact of ionizing radiation on superconducting qubit coherence. *Nature*, 584(7822):551–556, August 2020.

- [14] L. Cardani et al. Reducing the impact of radioactivity on quantum circuits in a deep-underground facility. 2020.
- [15] A.E. Lita, A.J. Miller, and S.-W. Nam. Counting near-infrared single-photons with 95% efficiency. Opt. Express, 16(5):3032–3040, 2008.
- [16] W.S. Holland et al. SCUBA-2: the 10 000 pixel bolometer camera on the James Clerk Maxwell Telescope. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 430(4):2513–2533, 2013.
- [17] L. Cardani et al. Energy resolution and efficiency of phonon-mediated kinetic inductance detectors for light detection. *Applied Physics Letters*, 107(9):093508, 2015.
- [18] A. Puiu et al. Transition-Edge Sensors for HOLMES. J. Low Temp. Phys., 199(3-4):716–722, 2019.
- [19] J. Rothe et al. TES-Based Light Detectors for the CRESST Direct Dark Matter Search. J. Low Temp. Phys., 193(5-6):1160–1166, 2018.
- [20] I. Colantoni et al. Bullkid: Bulky and low-threshold kinetic inductance detectors. Journal of Low Temperature Physics, 199(3):593–597, 2020.
- [21] N. Kurinsky, P. Brink, R. Partridge, B. Cabrera, and M. Pyle. SuperCDMS SNOLAB Low-Mass Detectors: Ultra-Sensitive Phonon Calorimeters for a Sub-GeV Dark Matter Search, 2016. arXiv:1611.04083.
- [22] A. Agrawal, A. Dixit, et al. Superconducting qubit advantange for dark matter (squad). In *Snowmass* 2021 Letters of Interest. APS-DPF, 2020.
- [23] Akash Dixit, Aaron Chou, and David Schuster. Detecting axion dark matter with superconducting qubits. In *Microwave Cavities and Detectors for Axion Research*, pages 97–103. Springer, 2018.
- [24] Huaixiu Zheng, Matti Silveri, RT Brierley, SM Girvin, and KW Lehnert. Accelerating dark-matter axion searches with quantum measurement technology. arXiv preprint arXiv:1607.02529, 2016.
- [25] SK Lamoreaux, KA Van Bibber, KW Lehnert, and G Carosi. Analysis of single-photon and linear amplifier detectors for microwave cavity dark matter axion searches. *Physical Review D*, 88(3):035020, 2013.
- [26] A. J. Anderson, P. A. R. Ade, Z. Ahmed, J. S. Avva, P. S. Barry, R. Basu Thakur, A. N. Bender, B. A. Benson, L. Bryant, K. Byrum, and et al. Performance of al-mn transition-edge sensor bolometers in spt-3g. *Journal of Low Temperature Physics*, 199(1-2):320–329, Nov 2019.
- [27] H. Sugai, P. A. R. Ade, Y. Akiba, D. Alonso, K. Arnold, J. Aumont, J. Austermann, C. Baccigalupi, A. J. Banday, R. Banerji, and et al. Updated design of the cmb polarization experiment satellite litebird. *Journal of Low Temperature Physics*, 199(3-4):1107–1117, Jan 2020.
- [28] Seth R. Meeker, Benjamin A. Mazin, Alex B. Walter, Paschal Strader, Neelay Fruitwala, Clint Bockstiegel, Paul Szypryt, Gerhard Ulbricht, Grégoire Coiffard, Bruce Bumble, and et al. Darkness: A microwave kinetic inductance detector integral field spectrograph for high-contrast astronomy. *Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific*, 130(988):065001, Apr 2018.
- [29] L. Cardani, F. Bellini, N. Casali, M.G. Castellano, I. Colantoni, A. Coppolecchia, C. Cosmelli, A. Cruciani, A. D'Addabbo, S. Di Domizio, and et al. New application of superconductors: High sensitivity cryogenic light detectors. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment*, 845:338–341, Feb 2017.

- [30] Z. Hong et al. Single electron-hole pair sensitive silicon detector with surface event discrimination. *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A*, 963:163757, 2020.
- [31] C. W. Fink, S. L. Watkins, T. Aramaki, P. L. Brink, S. Ganjam, B. A. Hines, M. E. Huber, N. A. Kurinsky, R. Mahapatra, N. Mirabolfathi, and et al. Characterizing tes power noise for future single optical-phonon and infrared-photon detectors. *AIP Advances*, 10(8):085221, Aug 2020.
- [32] Y.Y. Chang, B. Cornell, T. Aralis, B. Bumble, and S.R. Golwala. Development of a Massive, Highly Multiplexible, Phonon-Mediated Particle Detector Using Kinetic Inductance Detectors. *J. Low Temp. Phys.*, 193(5-6):1199–1205, 2018.
- [33] Yonit Hochberg, Ilya Charaev, Sae-Woo Nam, Varun Verma, Marco Colangelo, and Karl K. Berggren. Detecting sub-gev dark matter with superconducting nanowires. *Physical Review Letters*, 123(15), Oct 2019.
- [34] Shawn M. Beckman, Adrian T. Lee, Masashi Hazumi, Aritoki Suzuki, Yuto Minami, Noah A. Kurinsky, and LiteBIRD Collaboration. Development of cosmic ray mitigation techniques for the LiteBIRD space mission (Conference Presentation). In Jonas Zmuidzinas and Jian-Rong Gao, editors, *Millimeter, Submillimeter, and Far-Infrared Detectors and Instrumentation for Astronomy IX*, volume 10708. International Society for Optics and Photonics, SPIE, 2018.
- [35] M Martinez, L Cardani, N Casali, A Cruciani, G Pettinari, and M Vignati. Measurements and simulations of athermal phonon transmission from silicon absorbers to aluminum sensors. *Physical Review Applied*, 11(6):064025, 2019.
- [36] Anton Bespalov, Manuel Houzet, Julia S Meyer, and Yuli V Nazarov. Theoretical model to explain excess of quasiparticles in superconductors. *Physical review letters*, 117(11):117002, 2016.