

Snowmass2021 - Letter of Interest

Instrumentation and R&D for the Global Argon Dark Matter Collaboration

Thematic Areas: (check all that apply /)

- IF1: Quantum Sensors
- IF2: Photon Detectors
- IF3: Solid State Detectors and Tracking
- IF4: Trigger and DAQ
- IF5: Micro Pattern Gas Detectors (MPGDs)
- IF6: Calorimetry
- IF7: Electronics/ASICs
- IF8: Noble Elements
- IF9: Cross Cutting and Systems Integration
- (Other) *[Please specify frontier/topical group]*

Contact Information:

Name (Institution) [email]: Jeff Martoff (Temple University) [martoff@temple.edu] Collaboration:
The Global Argon Dark Matter Collaboration

Abstract: Great interest attaches to the possibility of dark matter direct detection experiments combining large sensitive mass with sensitivity to the directions of detected WIMPs. Members of the GADMC are engaged in continuing experimental and theoretical research to explore how this might be achieved in liquid argon. Possible methods could involve applying different drift fields, extending the recoil energy range, modifying the electron thermalization with additives to the LAr [1], or other methods suggested by theory/simulation.

Snowmass Letter of Intent Recoil Directionality as a Definitive Signature of Particle Dark Matter

F. Acerbi,^{1,2} P. Agnes,³ R. Ajaj,⁴ S. Albergo,^{5,6} I. F. M. Albuquerque,⁷ T. Alexander,⁸
A. Alici,^{9,10} A. K. Alton,¹¹ P. Amaudruz,¹² M. Arba,¹³ S. Arcelli,^{9,10} R. Ardito,^{14,15}
P. Arpaia,^{16,17} D. M. Asner,¹⁸ A. Asunskis,¹⁹ M. Ave,⁷ I. C. Avetisov,²⁰ R. I. Avetisov,²⁰
O. Azzolini,²¹ H. O. Back,⁸ Z. Balmforth,²² V. Barbarian,²³ G. Barile,²⁴ A. Barrado Olmedo,²⁵
P. Barrillon,²⁶ A. Basco,^{17,27} G. Batignani,^{28,29} M. G. Bisogni,^{28,29} V. Bocci,³⁰ A. Bondar,^{31,32}
W. Bonivento,¹³ E. Borisova,^{31,32} B. Bottino,^{33,34} M. G. Boulay,⁴ G. Buccino,³⁵ S. Bussino,^{36,37}
J. Busto,²⁶ A. Buzulutskov,^{31,32} M. Cadeddu,^{38,13} M. Cadoni,^{38,13} A. Caminata,³⁴
N. Canci,³⁹ G. Cappello,^{5,6} M. Caravati,¹³ M. M. Cardenas,²⁵ M. Cariello,³⁴ M. Carlini,⁴⁰
F. Carnesecchi,^{10,41} M. Carpinelli,^{42,43} A. Castellani,^{14,15} P. Castello,^{44,13} S. Catalanotti,^{27,17}
V. Cataudella,^{27,17} P. Cavalcante,^{45,39} S. Cavuoti,^{27,17} S. Cebrian,⁴⁶ J. Cela Ruiz,²⁵
B. Celano,¹⁷ R. Cereseto,³⁴ S. Chashin,²³ W. Cheng,^{47,48} A. Chepurinov,²³ E. Chyhyrynets,²¹
C. Cicalò,¹³ L. Cifarelli,^{9,10} M. Citterio,¹⁵ F. Coccetti,⁴¹ V. Cocco,¹³ A. G. Cocco,¹⁷
M. Colocci,^{9,10} E. Conde Vilda,²⁵ L. Consiglio,⁴⁰ S. Copello,^{34,33} F. Cossio,^{47,48} G. Covone,^{27,17}
P. Crivelli,⁴⁹ M. D'Aniello,^{50,17} M. D'Incecco,³⁹ D. D'Urso,^{42,43} M. D. Da Rocha Rolo,⁴⁷
O. Dadoun,⁵¹ M. Daniel,²⁵ S. Davini,³⁴ A. De Candia,^{27,17} S. De Cecco,^{30,52} A. De Falco,^{38,13}
G. De Filippis,^{27,17} D. De Gruttola,^{53,54} G. De Guido,⁵⁵ G. De Rosa,^{27,17} M. Della Valle,^{27,17}
G. Dellacasa,⁴⁷ P. Demontis,^{42,43,56} S. DePaquale,^{53,54} A. V. Derbin,⁵⁷ A. Devoto,^{38,13}
F. Di Capua,^{27,17} F. Di Eusanio,^{58,39} L. Di Noto,³⁴ P. Di Stefano,⁵⁹ C. Dionisi,^{30,52}
G. Dolganov,⁶⁰ F. Dordei,¹³ L. Doria,⁶¹ M. Downing,⁶² F. Edalatfar,¹² A. Empl,³ T. Erjavec,⁶³
M. Fernandez Diaz,²⁵ G. Ferri,²⁴ A. Ferri,^{1,2} C. Filip,⁶⁴ G. Fiorillo,^{27,17} A. Franceschi,⁶⁵
D. Franco,⁶⁶ E. Frolov,^{31,32} N. Funicello,^{54,53} F. Gabriele,³⁹ A. Gabrieli,^{42,43} C. Galbiati,^{58,39,40}
M. Garbini,^{41,10} P. Garcia Abia,²⁵ D. Gascón Fora,⁶⁷ A. Gendotti,⁴⁹ C. Ghiano,³⁹ A. Ghisi,^{14,15}
P. Giampa,¹² R. A. Giampaolo,^{47,48} C. Giganti,⁵¹ M. A. Giorgi,^{29,28} G. K. Giovanetti,⁶⁸
M. L. Gligan,⁶⁴ V. Goicoechea Casanueva,⁶⁹ A. Gola,^{1,2} P. Gorel,^{70,71} R. Graciani Diaz,⁶⁷
F. Granato,⁷² M. Grassi,²⁸ G. Y. Grigoriev,⁶⁰ A. Grobov,^{60,73} M. Gromov,^{23,74} M. Guan,⁷⁵
M. Guerzoni,¹⁰ M. Gulino,^{76,43} C. Guo,⁷⁵ B. R. Hackett,⁸ A. Hallin,⁷⁷ B. Harrop,⁵⁸ S. Hill,²²
S. Horikawa,^{40,39} B. Hosseini,¹³ F. Hubaut,²⁶ T. Hugues,⁷⁸ An. Ianni,^{58,39} A. Ilyasov,^{60,73}
V. Ippolito,³⁰ C. C. James,⁷⁹ C. Jillings,^{70,71} A. Joy,⁷⁷ K. Keeter,¹⁹ C. L. Kendziora,⁷⁹
G. Keppel,²¹ A. V. Khomyakov,²⁰ S. Kim,⁸⁰ A. Kish,⁶⁹ K. Kondo,³⁹ G. Kopp,⁵⁸ D. Korabely,⁷⁴
G. Korga,²² A. Kubankin,⁸¹ R. Kugathasan,^{47,48} M. Kuss,²⁸ M. Kuniak,⁷⁸ M. La Commara,^{82,17}
M. Lai,^{38,13} B. Lehnert,⁷⁷ A. Leoni,²⁴ G. Leuzzi,²⁴ N. Levashko,^{60,73} M. Leyton,^{17,27} X. Li,⁵⁸
M. Lissia,¹³ G. Longo,^{27,17} L. Luzzi,^{83,15} A. A. Machado,⁸⁴ I. N. Machulin,^{60,73} S. Manecki,^{70,71}
L. Mapelli,⁵⁸ M. Marcante,^{85,2,1} A. Margotti,¹⁰ S. M. Mari,^{36,37} M. Mariani,^{83,15} J. Maricic,⁶⁹
D. Marras,¹³ M. Martínez,^{46,86} A. D. Martinez Rojas,^{47,48} C. J. Martoff,⁷² M. Mascia,^{87,13}
A. Masoni,¹³ A. Mazzi,^{1,2} A. B. McDonald,⁵⁹ J. McLaughlin,^{12,22} A. Messina,^{30,52} M. Mignone,⁴⁷
T. Miletic,⁶⁹ R. Milincic,⁶⁹ A. Moggi,²⁸ S. Moiola,⁵⁵ J. Monroe,²² S. Morisi,^{27,17}
M. Morrocchi,^{28,29} E. N. Mozhevitina,²⁰ T. Mróz,⁸⁸ W. Mu,⁴⁹ V. N. Muratova,⁵⁷ C. Muscas,^{44,13}
L. Musenich,^{34,33} P. Musico,³⁴ R. Nania,¹⁰ T. Napolitano,⁶⁵ A. Navrer Agasson,⁵¹ M. Nessi,³⁵
G. Nieradka,⁷⁸ I. Nikulin,⁸¹ J. Nowak,⁸⁹ A. Oleinik,⁸¹ V. Oleynikov,^{31,32} G. Oliviero,⁴
F. Ortica,^{90,91} L. Pagani,⁶³ M. Pallavicini,^{33,34} V. Palmaccio,⁷² S. Palmas,^{87,13} L. Pandola,⁴³
E. Pantic,⁶³ E. Paoloni,^{28,29} R. Paolucci,²⁴ G. Paternoster,^{1,2} F. Pazzona,^{42,43} S. Peeters,⁹²
K. Pelczar,⁸⁸ L. A. Pellegrini,⁵⁵ C. Pellegrino,^{10,41} N. Pelliccia,^{90,91} F. Perotti,^{14,15} V. Pesudo,²⁵
E. Picciau,^{38,13} F. Pietropaolo,³⁵ C. Pira,²¹ A. Pocar,⁶² D. M. Poehlmann,⁶³ T. R. Pollmann,⁹³

S. Pordes,⁷⁹ S. S. Poudel,³ P. Pralavorio,²⁶ D. Price,⁹⁴ B. Radics,⁴⁹ F. Raffaelli,²⁸ F. Ragusa,^{95,15}
 A. Ramirez,³ A. Razeto,³⁹ V. Regazzoni,^{85,2,1} C. Regenfus,⁴⁹ A. L. Renshaw,³ S. Rescia,¹⁸
 M. Rescigno,³⁰ F. Resnati,³⁵ F. Retiere,¹² L. P. Rignanese,^{10,9,41} C. Ripoli,^{54,53} A. Rivetti,⁴⁷
 J. Rode,^{51,66} A. Romani,^{90,91} L. Romero,²⁵ C. Rossi,³⁴ A. Rubbia,⁴⁹ E. Sánchez García,²⁵
 D. Sablone,³⁹ P. Sala,³⁵ P. Salatino,^{96,17} O. Samoylov,⁷⁴ E. Sandford,⁹⁴ S. Sanfilippo,^{37,36}
 D. Santone,²² R. Santorelli,²⁵ C. Savarese,⁵⁸ E. Scapparone,¹⁰ B. Schlitzer,⁶³ G. Scioli,^{9,10}
 E. Segreto,⁸⁴ D. A. Semenov,⁵⁷ B. Shaw,¹² A. Shchagin,⁸¹ A. Sheshukov,⁷⁴ S. Siddhanta,¹³
 M. Simeone,^{96,17} P. N. Singh,³ P. Skensved,⁵⁹ M. D. Skorokhvatov,^{60,73} O. Smirnov,⁷⁴ B. Smith,¹²
 G. Sobrero,³⁴ A. Sokolov,^{31,32} A. Sotnikov,⁷⁴ R. Stainforth,⁴ A. Steri,¹³ F. Stivanello,²¹
 V. Stornelli,²⁴ S. Stracka,²⁸ M. Stringer,⁵⁹ G. B. Suffritti,^{42,43,56} S. Sulis,^{44,13} Y. Suvorov,^{27,17,60}
 J. Szücs-Balazs,⁶⁴ C. Türkoğlu,⁷⁸ A. Tan,⁵⁸ R. Tartaglia,³⁹ R. Tartaglia,^{50,17} G. Testera,³⁴
 T. N. Thorpe,^{40,39} A. Tonazzo,⁶⁶ S. Torres-Lara,³ G. Tortone,¹⁷ A. Tosi,^{97,15} A. Tricomi,^{5,6}
 M. Tuveri,¹³ I. Ulisse,²⁴ E. V. Unzhakov,⁵⁷ G. Usai,^{38,13} A. Vacca,^{87,13} E. Vázquez-Jáuregui,⁹⁸
 T. Viant,⁴⁹ S. Viel,⁴ F. Villa,^{97,15} A. Vishneva,⁷⁴ R. B. Vogelaar,⁴⁵ M. Wada,⁷⁸ H. Wang,⁹⁹
 Y. Wang,⁹⁹ S. Westerdale,⁴ R. J. Wheadon,⁴⁷ L. Williams,¹⁰⁰ Ma. M. Wojcik,⁸⁸ Ma. Wojcik,¹⁰¹
 X. Xiao,⁹⁹ C. Yang,⁷⁵ Z. Ye,³ X. Yu,⁷² A. Zani,³⁵ F. Zappa,^{97,15} G. Zappalà,^{85,2,1}
 A. Zichichi,^{9,10} M. Ziembicki,⁷⁸ M. Zullo,³⁰ A. Zullo,³⁰ G. Zuzel,⁸⁸ and M. P. Zykova²⁰

¹Fondazione Bruno Kessler, Povo 38123, Italy

²Trento Institute for Fundamental Physics and Applications, Povo 38123, Italy

³Department of Physics, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204, USA

⁴Department of Physics, Carleton University, Ottawa, ON K1S 5B6, Canada

⁵INFN Catania, Catania 95121, Italy

⁶Università of Catania, Catania 95124, Italy

⁷Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo 05508-090, Brazil

⁸Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA 99352, USA

⁹Physics Department, Università degli Studi di Bologna, Bologna 40126, Italy

¹⁰INFN Bologna, Bologna 40126, Italy

¹¹Physics Department, Augustana University, Sioux Falls, SD 57197, USA

¹²TRIUMF, 4004 Wesbrook Mall, Vancouver, BC V6T 2A3, Canada

¹³INFN Cagliari, Cagliari 09042, Italy

¹⁴Civil and Environmental Engineering Department, Politecnico di Milano, Milano 20133, Italy

¹⁵INFN Milano, Milano 20133, Italy

¹⁶Department of Electrical Engineering and Information Technology,

Università degli Studi "Federico II" di Napoli, Napoli 80125, Italy

¹⁷INFN Napoli, Napoli 80126, Italy

¹⁸Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA

¹⁹School of Natural Sciences, Black Hills State University, Spearfish, SD 57799, USA

²⁰Mendeleev University of Chemical Technology, Moscow 125047, Russia

²¹INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro, Legnaro (Padova) 35020, Italy

²²Department of Physics, Royal Holloway University of London, Egham TW20 0EX, UK

²³Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow 119234, Russia

²⁴Università degli Studi dell'Aquila, L'Aquila 67100, Italy

²⁵CIEMAT, Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas, Medioambientales y Tecnológicas, Madrid 28040, Spain

²⁶Centre de Physique des Particules de Marseille, Aix

Marseille Univ, CNRS/IN2P3, CPPM, Marseille, France

²⁷Physics Department, Università degli Studi "Federico II" di Napoli, Napoli 80126, Italy

²⁸INFN Pisa, Pisa 56127, Italy

²⁹Physics Department, Università degli Studi di Pisa, Pisa 56127, Italy

³⁰INFN Sezione di Roma, Roma 00185, Italy

³¹Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia

³²Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia

- ³³ *Physics Department, Università degli Studi di Genova, Genova 16146, Italy*
- ³⁴ *INFN Genova, Genova 16146, Italy*
- ³⁵ *CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research 1211 Geneve 23, Switzerland, CERN*
- ³⁶ *INFN Roma Tre, Roma 00146, Italy*
- ³⁷ *Mathematics and Physics Department, Università degli Studi Roma Tre, Roma 00146, Italy*
- ³⁸ *Physics Department, Università degli Studi di Cagliari, Cagliari 09042, Italy*
- ³⁹ *INFN Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso, Assergi (AQ) 67100, Italy*
- ⁴⁰ *Gran Sasso Science Institute, L'Aquila 67100, Italy*
- ⁴¹ *Museo della fisica e Centro studi e Ricerche Enrico Fermi, Roma 00184, Italy*
- ⁴² *Chemistry and Pharmacy Department, Università degli Studi di Sassari, Sassari 07100, Italy*
- ⁴³ *INFN Laboratori Nazionali del Sud, Catania 95123, Italy*
- ⁴⁴ *Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering Engineering, Università degli Studi, Cagliari 09042, Italy*
- ⁴⁵ *Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA 24061, USA*
- ⁴⁶ *Laboratorio de Física Nuclear y Astropartículas, Universidad de Zaragoza, Zaragoza 50009, Spain*
- ⁴⁷ *INFN Torino, Torino 10125, Italy*
- ⁴⁸ *Department of Electronics and Communications, Politecnico di Torino, Torino 10129, Italy*
- ⁴⁹ *Institute for Particle Physics, ETH Zürich, Zürich 8093, Switzerland*
- ⁵⁰ *Department of Strutture per Ingegneria e l'Architettura, Università degli Studi "Federico II" di Napoli, Napoli 80131, Italy*
- ⁵¹ *LPNHE, CNRS/IN2P3, Sorbonne Université, Université Paris Diderot, Paris 75252, France*
- ⁵² *Physics Department, Sapienza Università di Roma, Roma 00185, Italy*
- ⁵³ *Physics Department, Università degli Studi di Salerno, Salerno 84084, Italy*
- ⁵⁴ *INFN Salerno, Salerno 84084, Italy*
- ⁵⁵ *Chemistry, Materials and Chemical Engineering Department "G. Natta", Politecnico di Milano, Milano 20133, Italy*
- ⁵⁶ *Interuniversity Consortium for Science and Technology of Materials, Firenze 50121, Italy*
- ⁵⁷ *Saint Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina 188350, Russia*
- ⁵⁸ *Physics Department, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA*
- ⁵⁹ *Department of Physics, Engineering Physics and Astronomy, Queen's University, Kingston, ON K7L 3N6, Canada*
- ⁶⁰ *National Research Centre Kurchatov Institute, Moscow 123182, Russia*
- ⁶¹ *Institut für Physik, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, Mainz 55099, Germany*
- ⁶² *Amherst Center for Fundamental Interactions and Physics Department, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003, USA*
- ⁶³ *Department of Physics, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA*
- ⁶⁴ *National Institute for R&D of Isotopic and Molecular Technologies, Cluj-Napoca, 400293, Romania*
- ⁶⁵ *INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati 00044, Italy*
- ⁶⁶ *APC, Université de Paris, CNRS, Astroparticule et Cosmologie, Paris F-75013, France*
- ⁶⁷ *Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona E-08028, Catalonia, Spain*
- ⁶⁸ *Williams College, Physics Department, Williamstown, MA 01267 USA*
- ⁶⁹ *Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Hawai'i, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA*
- ⁷⁰ *SNOLAB, Lively, ON P3Y 1N2, Canada*
- ⁷¹ *Department of Physics and Astronomy, Laurentian University, Sudbury, ON P3E 2C6, Canada*
- ⁷² *Physics Department, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19122, USA*
- ⁷³ *National Research Nuclear University MEPhI, Moscow 115409, Russia*
- ⁷⁴ *Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna 141980, Russia*
- ⁷⁵ *Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing 100049, China*
- ⁷⁶ *Engineering and Architecture Faculty, Università di Enna Kore, Enna 94100, Italy*
- ⁷⁷ *Department of Physics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB T6G 2R3, Canada*
- ⁷⁸ *AstroCeNT, Nicolaus Copernicus Astronomical Center, 00-614 Warsaw, Poland*
- ⁷⁹ *Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, IL 60510, USA*
- ⁸⁰ *Physics Department, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19122, USA*
- ⁸¹ *Radiation Physics Laboratory, Belgorod National Research University, Belgorod 308007, Russia*
- ⁸² *Pharmacy Department, Università degli Studi "Federico II" di Napoli, Napoli 80131, Italy*

- ⁸³*Energy Department, Politecnico di Milano, Milano 20133, Italy*
- ⁸⁴*Physics Institute, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas 13083, Brazil*
- ⁸⁵*Physics Department, Università degli Studi di Trento, Povo 38123, Italy*
- ⁸⁶*Fundación ARAID, Universidad de Zaragoza, Zaragoza 50009, Spain*
- ⁸⁷*Department of Mechanical, Chemical, and Materials Engineering, Università degli Studi, Cagliari 09042, Italy*
- ⁸⁸*M. Smoluchowski Institute of Physics, Jagiellonian University, 30-348 Krakow, Poland*
- ⁸⁹*Physics Department, Lancaster University, Lancaster LA1 4YB, UK*
- ⁹⁰*Chemistry, Biology and Biotechnology Department, Università degli Studi di Perugia, Perugia 06123, Italy*
- ⁹¹*INFN Perugia, Perugia 06123, Italy*
- ⁹²*Physics and Astronomy Department, University of Sussex, Brighton BN1 9QH, UK*
- ⁹³*Physik Department, Technische Universität München, Munich 80333, Germany*
- ⁹⁴*Department of Physics and Astronomy, The University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, UK*
- ⁹⁵*Physics Department, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milano 20133, Italy*
- ⁹⁶*Chemical, Materials, and Industrial Production Engineering Department, Università degli Studi “Federico II” di Napoli, Napoli 80126, Italy*
- ⁹⁷*Electronics, Information, and Bioengineering Department, Politecnico di Milano, Milano 20133, Italy*
- ⁹⁸*Instituto de Física, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México 01000, Mexico*
- ⁹⁹*Physics and Astronomy Department, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA*
- ¹⁰⁰*Department of Physics and Engineering, Fort Lewis College, Durango, CO 81301, USA*
- ¹⁰¹*Institute of Applied Radiation Chemistry, Lodz University of Technology, 93-590 Lodz, Poland*

The nature of the dark matter is one of the major outstanding problems of both astrophysics and particle physics [2].

Direct detection experiments aim to detect hypothesised WIMP dark matter particles via their elastic scattering off of target nuclei in the laboratory. Specifically, experiments look for the tiny energy deposited in the detector material by the recoil nucleus. Like any counting experiment, there are backgrounds which are indistinguishable event-by-event from the sought after WIMP signal.

An unambiguous detection of dark matter in the form of WIMP particles requires a definitive signature connecting the observed signal to the cosmos, and excluding neutron interactions or other backgrounds. Various possibilities for such a signature exist. For a simple, nucleon-spin-independent WIMP-nucleus interaction, the energy-integrated recoil event rate is proportional to the square of the neutron number [3]. The recoil energy spectrum also has a definite dependence on target mass number. Therefore one widely discussed potential signature would be the consistency of integrated event rates and/or spectra measured in two or more experiments with different target nuclei, suitably corrected for detector threshold response [4]. Making unambiguous inferences from this signature would require a large number of events with both targets, a thorough understanding of the respective background environments, and accurate calculations of the respective nuclear form factors.

Other signatures result from the WIMP velocity distribution in the rest frame of the Earth [5, 6]. In the default isothermal sphere halo model, the WIMPs have a thermal velocity spectrum with zero average velocity and zero net rotation in the rest frame of the galaxy. Since the solar system revolves around the galactic center while the Earth revolves around the Sun and rotates on its axis, this model (or any other model with a WIMP halo that does not follow the Earth around) predicts other potential signatures due to the motion of the Earth relative to the halo.

At any instant of time, antiparallel to the resultant velocity of the Solar System around the Galactic Center there blows a “WIMP wind” on Earth, a preferred direction in galactic coordinates from which the WIMPs appear to emanate. At the present epoch, this wind should appear to originate from a point in the constellation Cygnus.

The wind is stronger (and hence the WIMP flux is higher) at times when the orbital speed of the Earth around the Sun is parallel to that of the solar system around the Galactic Center, and lower when these are antiparallel. The flux modulation should give rise to an ($<10\%$) annual modulation of the WIMP-nucleus interaction rate. The DAMA/LIBRA collaboration has in fact reported such a modulation. Despite ample statistics, as well as amplitude, frequency, and phase consistent with the expectations of the isothermal sphere halo model, that measurement has not been widely interpreted by the physics community as evidence for dark matter. This is partly because of the possibility that the modulation could be due to annual modulation of some unidentified background, rather than WIMP flux. It is clear that the wide acceptance of a dark matter detection claim requires a less ambiguous signature.

A possible origin for such a signature was discussed long ago [5, 6]. The rotation of the Earth on its axis causes the WIMP wind direction to rotate in the lab at the sidereal rate, just as stars in the sky appear to rise and set daily. If a measurable signal disclosing this direction could be found, it would provide the most robust signature currently known for the Galactic origin of a WIMP signal [7]. No known background can mimic this signal.

A number of projects are underway to seek recoil direction signatures by measuring the recoil tracks in gaseous detectors [8]. The present project seeks instead to leverage the large sensitive masses available with liquid noble gases and to find a direction-sensitive signature for these detectors [9]. We will search for an experimental signature of the recoil direction for WIMP-like interactions (principally neutron elastic scattering) in condensed media (principally liquid argon).

WIMP directional information is potentially available in dual-phase liquid noble gas Time Projection Chambers by exploiting columnar recombination [1]. Models suggest that the magnitude of the recombination effect should vary with the angle between the applied electric field (TPC drift field) and the recoil direction [10]. In liquid argon (LAr), energetic particles with track lengths of order \sim mm are known to exhibit measurable signal variations depending on the track angle with respect to the drift field [11]. U.S. signers of this LOI were principals in the SCENE experiment [12], which searched for this effect in LAr at energies and track lengths characteristic of WIMP recoils (~ 10 's of KeV and ~ 100 's of Angstroms), finding no unambiguous positive result within its limitations of statistics, recoil energy, and field strength. To continue these studies, we have participated in building a small, dedicated LAr-TPC called ReD [13] which is being operated by Italian groups at neutron beam facilities to extend the electric field studies. Future work searching for effects allowing direction-sensitive detection of WIMP recoils and could be carried out either in Italy or in the U.S.

-
- [1] D. Nygren, Journal of Physics: Conference Series **460**, 012006 (2013).
 - [2] Report of the Particle Physics Project Prioritization Panel (P5), U.S. DOE Office of Science, 2014.
 - [3] M. W. Goodman and E. Witten, Physical Review D **31**, 3059 (1985).
 - [4] J. R. Primack, D. Seckel, and B. Sadoulet, Annual Reviews of Nuclear and Particle Science **38**, 751 (1988).
 - [5] D. N. Spergel, Physical Review D **37**, 1353 (1988).
 - [6] K. Freese, J. Frieman, and A. Gould, Physical Review D **37**, 3388 (1988).
 - [7] P. Grothaus, M. Fairbairn, and J. Monroe, Physical Review D **90**, 055018 (2014).
 - [8] S. Ahlen and et al, International Journal of Modern Physics A **25.1**, 1 (2010).
 - [9] M. Cadeddu and et al, Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics **1**, 014 (2019).
 - [10] V. Cataudella, A. de Candia, G. D. Filippis, S. Catalanotti, M. Cadeddu, M. Lissia, B. Rossi, C. Galbiati, and G. Fiorillo, Journal of Instrumentation **12**, P12002 (2017).
 - [11] R. Acciarri *et al.* (The ArgoNeuT Collaboration), Journal of Instrumentation **8**, P08005 (2013).
 - [12] H. Cao *et al.* (The DarkSide Collaboration), Physical Review D **91**, 092007 (2015).

- [13] S. Sanfilippo *et al.* (The ReD Collaboration), EPJ Web of Conferences **209**, 01031 (2019), 7th Roma International Conference on Astroparticle Physics (RICAP).