

Snowmass LOI: Direct Probes of the Matter Effect in Neutrino Oscillations

Peter B. Denton^{1,*} and Stephen J. Parke^{2,†}

¹*High Energy Theory Group, Physics Department,
Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA*

²*Theoretical Physics Department, Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, IL 60510, USA*

(Dated: August 18, 2020)

The Standard Model Wolfenstein matter effect plays a crucial role in neutrino oscillations and our ability to understand the mass ordering as well as measure CP violation. Despite the importance of this process for the future oscillation program, it is not yet well constrained by measurements. Comparisons between next-generation experiments both with and without the matter effect are vital to ensure the robustness of oscillation results.

Frontiers: Primary: NF01 and TF11. Secondary: NF02, NF03, NF05

Standard Model Matter Effect: The matter effect is a process by which neutrinos and antineutrinos in the electron state experience a potential due to the forward elastic charged current scattering off electrons [1]. There is also a neutral current potential, but this affects all active flavors equally so it does not lead to any relative phase accumulation and thus plays no role in three flavor oscillations. This process is the primary driver for DUNE’s strong sensitivity to the mass ordering and has a strong effect on the measurement of CP violation. Thus it is crucial that we have a precise constraint on the matter effect. DUNE should be able to measure this at the $\sim 30\%$ level [2] and T2HK/T2HKK can provide some additional information [3]. A consistent measurement of the atmospheric mass splitting both with (DUNE [4]) and without (JUNO [5]) the matter effect is essential to ensure the robustness of any results leveraging the matter effect.

In addition to long-baseline-accelerator experiments, the matter effect also plays a large role in both regular solar neutrinos and the day-night effect. By comparing solar measurements with data from KamLAND one can constrain the matter effect. While the measurements of solar oscillation parameters are consistent [6–8], the uncertainties from solar experiments are quite large. Improved measurements of solar neutrinos from DUNE and Hyper-KamiokaNDE [9, 10] can improve this. Meanwhile, KamLAND measurements will be further improved at JUNO [5].

Steriles: The matter effect is also important for sterile neutrino oscillations. Since sterile neutrinos don’t experience the neutral current process, there is an effective matter potential for sterile neutrinos $\sim \frac{1}{2}$ that for electron neutrinos [11–16]. This is leveraged by IceCube to place strong constraints on sterile neutrinos with $\Delta m_{41}^2 \sim 1 \text{ eV}^2$ [17, 18]. A detection of sterile neutrinos by IceCube via the active-sterile resonance, if confirmed by other experiments, would also be a detection of the neutral current component of the matter effect.

Supernovae: The dynamics of supernovae are governed by neutrino physics with neutrino-neutrino interactions playing a significant role. This process is related to the standard matter effect governing long-baseline and solar neutrino oscillations.

Connection to NSI: The Standard Model matter effect is connected to neutrino non-standard interactions (NSI) [1, 19]. NSIs provide a simple effective field theory means of relating new physics between neutrinos and matter particles (usually electrons, up quarks, and down quarks) to oscillation physics. In principle the ϵ_{ee} term in this framework (see e.g. [19] for more on the NSI framework) is directly related to the matter effect. Most constraints on ϵ_{ee} assume interactions with quarks only. Thus the constraints on ϵ_{ee} tend to be a bit stronger than directly on the matter effect as comparisons between solar neutrinos and terrestrial neutrinos provide additional information since the up- to down-quark ratio is quite different in each environment. Nonetheless, global oscillation fits to NSIs show that ϵ_{ee} is the poorest constrained NSI parameter with $\sim \mathcal{O}(100\%)$ uncertainty [20, 21]. While this does not directly translate into a constraint on the matter effect it should be close at the order-of-magnitude level.

Summary: The Standard Model matter effect plays a crucial role in our capability to measure the mass ordering and CP violation. Thus it is crucial to verify that it works as anticipated. Current data provides only poor constraints, mostly from solar data. Next generation experiments, notably DUNE and Hyper-K, will significantly leverage the matter effect and thus can constrain the matter effect via a comparison to other experiments with less matter effect such as JUNO.

* pdenton@bnl.gov

† parke@fnal.gov

-
- [1] L. Wolfenstein, “Neutrino Oscillations in Matter,” *Phys. Rev. D* **17** (1978) 2369–2374.
- [2] K. J. Kelly and S. J. Parke, “Matter Density Profile Shape Effects at DUNE,” *Phys. Rev. D* **98** no. 1, (2018) 015025, [arXiv:1802.06784 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [3] S. F. King, S. Molina Sedgwick, S. J. Parke, and N. W. Prouse, “Effects of matter density profiles on neutrino oscillations for T2HK and T2HKK,” *Phys. Rev. D* **101** (2020) 076019, [arXiv:2001.05505 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [4] **DUNE** Collaboration, B. Abi *et al.*, “Deep Underground Neutrino Experiment (DUNE), Far Detector Technical Design Report, Volume II DUNE Physics,” [arXiv:2002.03005 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [5] **JUNO** Collaboration, Z. Djurcic *et al.*, “JUNO Conceptual Design Report,” [arXiv:1508.07166 \[physics.ins-det\]](#).
- [6] **KamLAND** Collaboration, A. Gando *et al.*, “Reactor On-Off Antineutrino Measurement with KamLAND,” *Phys. Rev. D* **88** no. 3, (2013) 033001, [arXiv:1303.4667 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [7] **SNO** Collaboration, Q. Ahmad *et al.*, “Direct evidence for neutrino flavor transformation from neutral current interactions in the Sudbury Neutrino Observatory,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89** (2002) 011301, [arXiv:nucl-ex/0204008](#).
- [8] **Super-Kamiokande** Collaboration, K. Abe *et al.*, “Solar Neutrino Measurements in Super-Kamiokande-IV,” *Phys. Rev. D* **94** no. 5, (2016) 052010, [arXiv:1606.07538 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [9] F. Capozzi, S. W. Li, G. Zhu, and J. F. Beacom, “DUNE as the Next-Generation Solar Neutrino Experiment,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123** no. 13, (2019) 131803, [arXiv:1808.08232 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [10] **Hyper-Kamiokande** Collaboration, K. Abe *et al.*, “Hyper-Kamiokande Design Report,” [arXiv:1805.04163 \[physics.ins-det\]](#).
- [11] E. K. Akhmedov, “Neutrino oscillations in inhomogeneous matter. (In Russian),” *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **47** (1988) 301–302.
- [12] P. Krastev and A. Smirnov, “Parametric Effects in Neutrino Oscillations,” *Phys. Lett. B* **226** (1989) 341–346.
- [13] M. Chizhov, M. Maris, and S. Petcov, “On the oscillation length resonance in the transitions of solar and atmospheric neutrinos crossing the earth core,” [arXiv:hep-ph/9810501](#).
- [14] M. Chizhov and S. Petcov, “New conditions for a total neutrino conversion in a medium,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** (1999) 1096–1099, [arXiv:hep-ph/9903399](#).
- [15] E. K. Akhmedov and A. Smirnov, “Comment on ‘New conditions for a total neutrino conversion in a medium’,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85** (2000) 3978, [arXiv:hep-ph/9910433](#).
- [16] S. Petcov, “On the IceCube Result on $\bar{\nu}_\mu \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_s$ oscillations,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **32** no. 04, (2017) 1750018, [arXiv:1611.09247 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [17] **IceCube** Collaboration, M. Aartsen *et al.*, “Searches for Sterile Neutrinos with the IceCube Detector,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117** no. 7, (2016) 071801, [arXiv:1605.01990 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [18] **IceCube** Collaboration, M. Aartsen *et al.*, “Searching for eV-scale sterile neutrinos with eight years of atmospheric neutrinos at the IceCube neutrino telescope,” [arXiv:2005.12943 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [19] *Neutrino Non-Standard Interactions: A Status Report*, vol. 2. 2019. [arXiv:1907.00991 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [20] P. Coloma, P. B. Denton, M. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni, and T. Schwetz, “Curtailling the Dark Side in Non-Standard Neutrino Interactions,” *JHEP* **04** (2017) 116, [arXiv:1701.04828 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [21] I. Esteban, M. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni, I. Martinez-Soler, and J. Salvado, “Updated Constraints on Non-Standard Interactions from Global Analysis of Oscillation Data,” *JHEP* **08** (2018) 180, [arXiv:1805.04530 \[hep-ph\]](#).