Snowmass2021 - Letter of Interest A comprehensive EFT global fit in the neutrino oscillation experiments

C. A. Argüelles¹, D. Aristizabal Sierra^{2,3}, K.S. Babu⁴, G. Barenboim⁵, J. M. Berryman^{6,7}, P. D. Bolton⁸, V. Brdar⁹, M. Bustamante¹⁰, P. Coloma¹¹, S. Davidson¹², J.B. Dent¹³, B. Dutta¹⁴, F. F. Deppisch⁸, I. Esteban¹⁵, A. Falkowski¹⁶, Y. Farzan¹⁷, Shao-Feng Ge¹⁸, A. Friedland¹⁹, J. Gehrlein²⁰, M. González-Alonso²¹, A. de Gouvêa²², T. Han²³, M. Hostert^{24,25,26}, P. Huber²⁷, J. Hyde²⁸, S. Jana⁹, K. J. Kelly²⁹, J. Kopp^{30,31}, S. Kumar Agarwalla³², J. Liao³³, P. A. N. Machado²⁹, D. Marfatia³⁴, I. Martinez-Soler^{22,29,35}, H. Minakata²⁷, I. Mocioiu³⁶, K. Murase³⁷, N. Nath³⁸, A. Palazzo^{39,40}, S. J. Parke²⁹, Y. F. Perez-Gonzalez^{22,29,35}, S. T. Petcov^{41,42}, W. Rodejohann⁹, J. Salvado⁴³, M. Sen²², T. Schwetz⁴⁴, I.M. Shoemaker²⁷, Y. Soreq⁴⁵, Z. Tabrizi¹⁹, J. Terol-Calvo⁴⁶, A. Thapa⁴⁷, M. Tórtola^{5,46}, J. W. F. Valle⁴⁶, A. Vicente^{5,46}, and Jaehoon Yu⁴⁸ ¹Department of Physics & Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA ²Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María-Departamento de Física Casilla 110-V, Avda. España 1680, Valparaíso, Chile ³IFPA, Dep. AGO, Université de Liège, Bat B5, Sart Tilman B-4000 Liège 1, Belgium ⁴Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK 74078, USA ⁵Departament de Física Teòrica, IFIC, Universitat de València, Avda. Dr. Moliner, 50, E-46100 Burjassot, València, Spain ⁶Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40506, USA ⁷Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA ⁸Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, WC1E 6BT London, UK ⁹Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Postfach 103980, D-69029 Heidelberg, Germany ¹⁰Niels Bohr International Academy DARK, Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen 2100, Denmark ¹¹Instituto de Fisica Teorica UAM/CSIC, Campus de Cantoblanco, 28049 Madrid (Spain) ¹²LUPM, CNRS, Université Montpellier, Place Eugene Bataillon, F-34095 Montpellier, Cedex 5, France ¹³Department of Physics, Sam Houston State University, Huntsville, TX 77341, USA ¹⁴Mitchell Institute for Fundamental Physics and Astronomy, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77845, USA ¹⁵Departament de Fisica Quantica i Astrofísica and Institute of Cosmos Sciences, Universitat de Barcelona ¹⁶Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, IJCLab, 91405 Orsay, France ¹⁷School of physics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), P.O. Box 19395-5531, Tehran, Iran. ¹⁸Tsung-Dao Lee Institute & School of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China ¹⁹Theory Group, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford University, Menlo Park, CA 94025, USA ²⁰High Energy Theory Group, Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA ²¹Departament de Física Teòrica, IFIC, Universitat de València - CSIC, Apt. Correus 22085,

E-46071 València, Spain ²²Department of Physics & Astronomy, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208-3112, USA ²³PITT PACC, Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA ²⁴School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA ²⁵William I. Fine Theoretical Physics Institute, School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA ²⁶Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, Waterloo, ON N2J 2W9, Canada ²⁷Center for Neutrino Physics, Department of Physics, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA 24061, USA ²⁸Department of Physics & Astronomy, Bowdoin College, Brunswick, ME 04011, USA ²⁹Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, IL, 60510, USA ³⁰Theoretical Physics Department, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland ³¹PRISMA Cluster of Excellence & Mainz Institute for Theoretical Physics, Johannes Gutenberg University, Staudingerweg 7, 55099 Mainz, Germany ³²Institute of Physics, Sachivalaya Marg, Sainik School Post, Bhubaneswar 751005, India ³³School of Physics, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, 510275, China ³⁴Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA ³⁵Colegio de Física Fundamental e Interdisciplinaria de las Américas (COFI), 254 Norzagaray street, San Juan, Puerto Rico 00901. ³⁶Department of Physics, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA ³⁷Department of Physics, Department of Astronomy & Astrophysics, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA ³⁸ Instituto de Física, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, A.P. 20-364, Ciudad de México 01000, México. ³⁹Dipartimento Interateneo di Fisica "Michelangelo Merlin," Via Amendola 173, 70126 Bari, Italy ⁴⁰Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Bari, Via Orabona 4, 70126 Bari, Italy ⁴¹ SISSA/INFN, Via Bonomea 265, 34136 Trieste, Italy ⁴²Kavli IPMU (WPI), University of Tokyo, 5-1-5 Kashiwanoha, 277-8583 Kashiwa, Japan ⁴³Departament de Fisíca Quàntica i Astrofísica and Institut de Ciències del Cosmos, Universitat de Barcelona ⁴⁴Institut fuer Kernphysik, Karlsruher Institut fuer Technologie (KIT), D-76021 Karlsruhe, Germany ⁴⁵Physics Department, Technion—Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 3200003, Israel ⁴⁶Institut de Física Corpuscular, CSIC/Universitat de València, Parc Científic de Paterna., C/ Catedrático José Beltrán, 2 E-46980 Paterna (Valencia) - SPAIN ⁴⁷Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK 74078, USA ⁴⁸University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington, TX 76019, USA

Abstract

This Letter of Interest (LOI) proposes to systematically study the physics beyond the standard model (BSM) in the neutrino oscillation experiments within the standard model Effective Field Theory (SMEFT) framework. Thus, the analysis of the data can capture large classes of models, where the new degrees of freedom have masses well above the relevant energy for the experiment. Moreover, it allows to compare several experiments in a unified framework and in a systematic way. The approach will be applied to several short- and long baseline neutrino experiments, for which the results can be included in the GLoBES-EFT package, or equivalent pieces of software so that both theorists and experimentalists can directly use the outcome.

NF Topical Groups: (check all that apply \Box/\blacksquare)

- (NF1) Neutrino oscillations
- □ (NF2) Sterile neutrinos
- (NF3) Beyond the Standard Model
- \Box (NF4) Neutrinos from natural sources
- (NF5) Neutrino properties
- \Box (NF6) Neutrino cross sections
- □ (NF7) Applications
- (TF11) Theory of neutrino physics
- \Box (NF9) Artificial neutrino sources
- □ (NF10) Neutrino detectors

TF Topical Groups: (check all that apply \Box / \blacksquare)

- \Box (TF01) String theory, quantum gravity, black holes
- (TF02) Effective field theory techniques
- \Box (TF03) CFT and formal QFT
- □ (TF04) Scattering amplitudes
- \Box (TF05) Lattice gauge theory
- \Box (TF06) Theory techniques for precision physics
- \Box (TF07) Collider phenomenology
- (TF08) BSM model building
- \Box (TF09) Astro-particle physics & cosmology
- □ (TF10) Quantum Information Science
- (TF11) Theory of neutrino physics

Corresponding Author:

Zahra Tabrizi (Virginia Tech) [ztabrizi@vt.edu]

Introduction: After several decades of neutrino oscillation experiments currently most standard neutrino parameters are over-constrained by several measurements. Hence, one can use this wealth to explore new physics beyond the neutrino masses and mixings. Traditionally the BSM searches in the neutrino sector are done through the so-called non-standard interactions (NSI), a generic feature of theories of neutrino mass generation, that may have important phenomenological implications [1-25]. The new physics (NP) at the production, detection and propagation of neutrinos is parametrized by the effective couplings $\epsilon^{s,d,m}$, respectively. However, there has been little emphasis on connecting these couplings to parameters of concrete ultraviolet (UV) models. As shown in Ref [26], there are several limitations in the literature when this traditional approach is used: 1) For some production/detection processes there is no matching between $e^{s,d}$ and the Wilson coefficients of a well-defined and systematic framework of EFT; 2) The $e^{s,m,d}$ in the traditional approach are usually assumed to be independent of each other, while in reality there are certain correlations between the production, propagation and detection processes which need to be considered in a careful study. On the other hand, full attention has not been paid to such issues as: i) Power counting of NSI effects; ii) Extraction of the mixing angles in the presence of general new physics; iii) Comparison between the sensitivity of oscillation and other experiments. A systematic EFT analysis of new physics using different neutrino experiments is missing in the literature. Also, there has been little emphasis on connecting the new physics results from neutrino oscillation experiments and other precision experiments, such as nuclear beta transitions, meson decays, Drell-Yan production at the LHC, etc. (see e.g. [26–31]). This is clearly an aspect to be improved, since one of the main advantages of the EFT approach is that it provides general results that any model builder can later use.

This Letter of Interest proposes to use neutrino oscillation experiments as tools for beyond the SM searches. A systematic **EFT** approach to neutrino oscillations is proposed in Ref. [32], focusing on shortbaseline reactor neutrino experiments Daya Bay and RENO. The general formalism can be readily applied to experiments with longer baselines, where one should calculate different neutrino production and detection processes in the presence of EFT. To do this, one needs to go to higher neutrino energy and enter the quasielastic regime, for which these calculations will be a lot more complicated. Therefore, this is a necessary but very challenging part of this program. The main tasks are: (i) development of the theoretical framework to systematically describe new physics in oscillation experiments; (ii) calculating process dependent production and detection amplitudes of neutrinos in the presence of new CC and NC interactions; (iii) calculating the general formulas of neutrino oscillation probabilities for each process.

Search strategy: The plan is to study constraints on the Standard Model Effective Field Theory (**SMEFT**) [33, 34] from neutrino oscillation experiments. The advantage of this approach is its generality and efficiency. The analysis of experimental data is done once and for all, and its outcome can be applied to a plethora of specific new physics scenarios in a straightforward way. In this spirit, all effective operators of a given order in the EFT counting must be kept in the analysis simultaneously, and numerical results must be provided including correlations. However, the current constraints in the literature are not available in the model-independent form where all 4-fermion operators are present simultaneously, hence, it has never been considered before. There are advantages in embedding NSI in a solid EFT:

- **First**, consistent EFTs come with an expansion parameter, and the Lagrangian, amplitudes, and observables can be systematically constructed order by order in that expansion. This allows one to compare different NSI effects in neutrino oscillations, and unambiguously identify the leading order contributions.
- Second, EFTs may predict correlations between the magnitude of effects in oscillation and in other precision experiments.
- Third, sensitivities of the different precision probes can be meaningfully compared.

From an EFT point of view, the most general Lagrangian describing new physics above the electroweak scale is the SMEFT Lagrangian. It features the same particle content and the same local symmetries as the SM, but augments the latter by adding new higher dimensional operators. At smaller neutrino energies (below the W boson mass), the SMEFT Lagrangian reduces to the one of weak effective field theory (WEFT), in which the electroweak gauge bosons, the Higgs boson, and the top quark are integrated out. The WEFT

Wilson coefficients can be matched onto the parameters of the SMEFT at a scale $\mu \sim m_W$, where the matching is given in [32]. It is desirable to incorporate a right-handed neutrino in the EFT framework as well, and that will lead to additional rich phenomenology [31]. For each experiment, one needs calculate the probability of oscillation at the leading order in the parameters of the WEFT. Hence by measuring the Wilson Coefficients at low energy it is possible to derive constraints on the parameters of the higher dimensional SMEFT interactions at $\mu \gg m_W$, where these bounds can be translated into constraints to various ultraviolet (UV) complete models and can be compared to SMEFT constraints from other sources.

Example Experiments: The goal is to derive constraints on the corresponding SMEFT parameters using the most recent data from current and future Long-baseline accelerator experiments MINOS [35], T2K [36] and DUNE [37] (see [38]), the atmospheric experiments IceCube [39] and Super-Kamiokande [40] and finally the solar neutrino data. The global analysis can be done using GLoBES [41] or equivalent pieces of software, and software packages will be prepared based on these results, which will become public. In order to perform a global fit, we also plan to apply the recently developed novel approach using a copula method which combines posterior information from different experiments with a large, generalized set of NSI parameters [42].

Impact: The EFT studies in this project can be translated into constraints on masses and couplings of a large class of BSM theories which will be useful to model builders who will thus have an easy way of comparing their predictions to data. The developed software packages will be public so both theorists and experimentalists can use it for future studies.

References

- S. Bergmann, Y. Grossman and E. Nardi, *Neutrino propagation in matter with general interactions*, *Phys. Rev.* D60 (1999) 093008, [hep-ph/9903517].
- [2] M. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Guzzo, P. Krastev, H. Nunokawa, O. Peres, V. Pleitez et al., Atmospheric neutrino observations and flavor changing interactions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 (1999) 3202–3205, [hep-ph/9809531].
- [3] N. Fornengo, M. Gonzalez-Garcia and J. W. F. Valle, On the interpretation of the atmospheric neutrino data in terms of flavor changing neutrino interactions, JHEP 07 (2000) 006, [hep-ph/9906539].
- [4] O. Miranda, M. Tortola and J. W. F. Valle, Are solar neutrino oscillations robust?, JHEP 10 (2006) 008, [hep-ph/0406280].
- [5] S. Antusch, C. Biggio, E. Fernandez-Martinez, M. B. Gavela and J. Lopez-Pavon, *Unitarity of the Leptonic Mixing Matrix*, *JHEP* **10** (2006) 084, [hep-ph/0607020].
- [6] J. Kopp, M. Lindner, T. Ota and J. Sato, Non-standard neutrino interactions in reactor and superbeam experiments, Phys. Rev. D77 (2008) 013007, [0708.0152].
- [7] A. Bolanos, O. G. Miranda, A. Palazzo, M. A. Tortola and J. W. F. Valle, *Probing non-standard neutrino-electron interactions with solar and reactor neutrinos*, *Phys. Rev.* D79 (2009) 113012, [0812.4417].
- [8] T. Ohlsson and H. Zhang, Non-Standard Interaction Effects at Reactor Neutrino Experiments, Phys. Lett. B671 (2009) 99–104, [0809.4835].
- [9] D. Delepine, V. Gonzalez Macias, S. Khalil and G. Lopez Castro, *QFT results for neutrino* oscillations and New Physics, Phys. Rev. **D79** (2009) 093003, [0901.1460].
- [10] C. Biggio, M. Blennow and E. Fernandez-Martinez, General bounds on non-standard neutrino interactions, JHEP 08 (2009) 090, [0907.0097].

- [11] R. Leitner, M. Malinsky, B. Roskovec and H. Zhang, Non-standard antineutrino interactions at Daya Bay, JHEP 12 (2011) 001, [1105.5580].
- [12] T. Ohlsson, Status of non-standard neutrino interactions, Rept. Prog. Phys. 76 (2013) 044201, [1209.2710].
- [13] A. Esmaili and A. Yu. Smirnov, *Probing Non-Standard Interaction of Neutrinos with IceCube and DeepCore*, *JHEP* 06 (2013) 026, [1304.1042].
- [14] Y.-F. Li and Y.-L. Zhou, Shifts of neutrino oscillation parameters in reactor antineutrino experiments with non-standard interactions, Nucl. Phys. B888 (2014) 137–153, [1408.6301].
- [15] S. K. Agarwalla, P. Bagchi, D. V. Forero and M. Tortola, *Probing Non-Standard Interactions at Daya Bay*, *JHEP* 07 (2015) 060, [1412.1064].
- [16] M. Blennow, S. Choubey, T. Ohlsson and S. K. Raut, *Exploring Source and Detector Non-Standard Neutrino Interactions at ESSvSB*, JHEP 09 (2015) 096, [1507.02868].
- [17] P. Coloma, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni and T. Schwetz, COHERENT Enlightenment of the Neutrino Dark Side, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 115007, [1708.02899].
- [18] Y. Farzan and M. Tortola, Neutrino oscillations and Non-Standard Interactions, Front. in Phys. 6 (2018) 10, [1710.09360].
- [19] D. Choudhury, K. Ghosh and S. Niyogi, *Non-Standard Neutrino Interactions : Obviating Oscillation Experiments*, 1801.01513.
- [20] J. Heeck, M. Lindner, W. Rodejohann and S. Vogl, Non-Standard Neutrino Interactions and Neutral Gauge Bosons, SciPost Phys. 6 (2019) 038, [1812.04067].
- [21] W. Altmannshofer, M. Tammaro and J. Zupan, *Non-standard neutrino interactions and low energy experiments*, *JHEP* 09 (2019) 083, [1812.02778].
- [22] D. Aristizabal Sierra, V. De Romeri and N. Rojas, COHERENT analysis of neutrino generalized interactions, Phys. Rev. D98 (2018) 075018, [1806.07424].
- [23] I. Esteban, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni, I. Martinez-Soler and J. Salvado, Updated Constraints on Non-Standard Interactions from Global Analysis of Oscillation Data, JHEP 08 (2018) 180, [1805.04530].
- [24] L. Flores, N. Nath and E. Peinado, Non-standard neutrino interactions in U(1) model after COHERENT data, JHEP 06 (2020) 045, [2002.12342].
- [25] U. K. Dey, N. Nath and S. Sadhukhan, Non-Standard Neutrino Interactions in a Modified ν2HDM, Phys. Rev. D 98 (2018) 055004, [1804.05808].
- [26] A. Falkowski, M. González-Alonso and Z. Tabrizi, *Consistent QFT description of non-standard neutrino interactions*, 1910.02971.
- [27] J. Terol-Calvo, M. Tórtola and A. Vicente, *High-energy constraints from low-energy neutrino nonstandard interactions*, *Phys. Rev. D* 101 (2020) 095010, [1912.09131].
- [28] I. Bischer and W. Rodejohann, General Neutrino Interactions from an Effective Field Theory Perspective, Nucl. Phys. B947 (2019) 114746, [1905.08699].
- [29] A. Friedland, M. L. Graesser, I. M. Shoemaker and L. Vecchi, Probing Nonstandard Standard Model Backgrounds with LHC Monojets, Phys. Lett. B 714 (2012) 267–275, [1111.5331].

- [30] K. Babu, A. Friedland, P. Machado and I. Mocioiu, *Flavor Gauge Models Below the Fermi Scale*, *JHEP* **12** (2017) **096**, [1705.01822].
- [31] T. Han, J. Liao, H. Liu and D. Marfatia, *Scalar and tensor neutrino interactions*, *JHEP* 07 (2020) 207, [2004.13869].
- [32] A. Falkowski, M. González-Alonso and Z. Tabrizi, *Reactor neutrino oscillations as constraints on Effective Field Theory*, *JHEP* **05** (2019) 173, [1901.04553].
- [33] W. Buchmuller and D. Wyler, Effective Lagrangian Analysis of New Interactions and Flavor Conservation, Nucl. Phys. B268 (1986) 621–653.
- [34] B. Grzadkowski, M. Iskrzynski, M. Misiak and J. Rosiek, Dimension-Six Terms in the Standard Model Lagrangian, JHEP 1010 (2010) 085, [1008.4884].
- [35] MINOS collaboration, P. Adamson et al., *Measurement of Neutrino and Antineutrino Oscillations* Using Beam and Atmospheric Data in MINOS, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110** (2013) 251801, [1304.6335].
- [36] T2K collaboration, K. Abe et al., Sensitivity of the T2K accelerator-based neutrino experiment with an Extended run to 20×10^{21} POT, 1607.08004.
- [37] DUNE collaboration, B. Abi et al., *Long-baseline neutrino oscillation physics potential of the DUNE experiment*, 2006.16043.
- [38] I. Bischer and W. Rodejohann, *General Neutrino Interactions at the DUNE Near Detector*, *Phys. Rev.* D 99 (2019) 036006, [1810.02220].
- [39] ICECUBE collaboration, M. Aartsen et al., Searching for eV-scale sterile neutrinos with eight years of atmospheric neutrinos at the IceCube neutrino telescope, 2005.12943.
- [40] SUPER-KAMIOKANDE collaboration, Y. Fukuda et al., *The Super-Kamiokande detector*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **501** (2003) 418–462.
- [41] P. Huber, J. Kopp, M. Lindner, M. Rolinec and W. Winter, New features in the simulation of neutrino oscillation experiments with GLoBES 3.0: General Long Baseline Experiment Simulator, Comput. Phys. Commun. 177 (2007) 432–438, [hep-ph/0701187].
- [42] B. Dutta, R. F. Lang, S. Liao, S. Sinha, L. Strigari and A. Thompson, A global analysis strategy to resolve neutrino NSI degeneracies with scattering and oscillation data, 2002.03066.