# Snowmass2021 - Letter of Interest

# A Neutrinoless Double-Beta Decay Search at THEIA

## **NF Topical Groups:** (check all that apply $\Box/\blacksquare$ )

 $\Box$  (NF1) Neutrino oscillations

- $\Box$  (NF2) Sterile neutrinos
- $\Box$  (NF3) Beyond the Standard Model
- $\Box$  (NF4) Neutrinos from natural sources
- $\blacksquare$  (NF5) Neutrino properties
- $\Box$  (NF6) Neutrino cross sections
- $\Box$  (NF7) Applications
- $\Box$  (TF11) Theory of neutrino physics
- $\Box$  (NF9) Artificial neutrino sources
- $\blacksquare$  (NF10) Neutrino detectors
- $\Box$  (Other) [Please specify frontier/topical group(s)]

### **Contact Information:**

Andrew Mastbaum [mastbaum@physics.rutgers.edu] Gabriel D. Orebi Gann [gorebigann@lbl.gov] Collaboration: THEIA

**Authors:** Andrew Mastbaum, Chris Grant, Valentina Lozza, Gabriel D. Orebi Gann, Lindley Winslow on behalf of the THEIA collaboration Full author list at end of document

Abstract: The possibility of a Majorana neutrino, and of lepton number non-conservation, are among the most fundamental open questions in particle physics. A broad international program employing a wide variety of detector types is underway to address these these important questions via searches for neutrinoless double-beta decay (NLDBD). The THEIA program builds on the success of NLDBD searches using large liquid scintillator detectors loaded with double-beta decay isotopes, and leverages novel detector technologies to enable world-class sensitivity at the level of  $m_{\beta\beta} \sim 5$  meV. This is enabled by a very large target mass coupled with excellent background rejection achieved via fast timing, advanced photon detectors, optimized scintillator properties, and next-generation reconstruction and analysis techniques.

The search for neutrinoless double-beta decay (NLDBD) is among the most compelling experimental prospects in particle physics today. If observed, this decay process  $(A, Z) \rightarrow$  $(A, Z+2)+2e^-$  would simultaneously demonstrate that the neutrino is a Majorana fermion where  $\nu_R = \nu_L^c$  and that lepton number is not conserved ( $\Delta L = 2$ ), and provide information about the absolute mass scale of the neutrinos. This would have profound implications for the Standard Model, where new physics is implied by the Majorana mass term, and for leptogenesis, for which lepton number non-conservation is a necessary condition.

This important physics has motivated a vibrant international experimental program, with groups pursuing a wide array of detector technologies, radiological background mitigation strategies, and analysis techniques. One promising technology is the loading of NLDBD candidate isotopes in liquid scintillator detectors. A notable advantage of the liquid scintillator approach is the broad program of potential physics measurements with such a detector, including for example solar, supernova, and terrestrial (geo-) neutrinos. This synergy leads to a highly cost-effective experimental program. Liquid scintillator offers several additional advantages as a technology:

- Liquid scintillator detectors are a well-known and relatively low-cost technology with demonstrated low-background capabilities and particle identification techniques
- Through dissolution or chemical methods, it is possible to load large (multi-ton) quantities of the NLDBD isotope
- Massive, monolithic detectors allow substantial reduction of backgrounds due to detector materials via fiducialization
- Extraction and exchange of the NLDBD isotope, including enrichment and/or depletion, allows for *in situ* confirmation of a detected signal

The scalability is a particularly motivating feature. With very large achievable target masses, relatively inexpensive liquid scintillator detectors can offer excellent potential for NLDBD discovery. In the case of a null result, such a program can quickly rule out large swaths of parameter space, narrowing the focus for high-resolution and zero-background technologies.

The THEIA detector concept (see Reference [1] and references therein) builds on the advantages of liquid scintillator NLDBD searches by integrating emerging detector technologies:

- A liquid scintillator-based target allows tuning of the scintillation yield, timing, and other characteristics, in order to optimize the energy resolution and particle identification
- Fast photon detectors and spectral sorting enable separation of the Cherenkov and scintillation optical signals, enabling improved background mitigation through track direction reconstruction

The THEIA concept places a 25–100 kton WbLS detector deep underground at SURF. Exposed to the LBNF beam, such a detector would be capable of a broad physics program, including a long-baseline oscillation program complementary to DUNE and Hyper-Kamiokande, sensitive solar and supernova neutrino measurements, nucleon decay searches, and — with isotope doping — NLDBD. The NLDBD search at THEIA would be achieved by deploying an inner containment balloon with a pure scintillator or scintillator-rich WbLS doped with the NLDBD isotope, e.g. natural tellurium (34% <sup>130</sup>Te) or enriched xenon (~ 90% <sup>136</sup>Xe), at the percent level by mass. A high effective coverage of efficient, fast photodetectors, achieved through a combination of large photo-sensitive area and light concentration, will enable an energy resolution of ~ 3% in the few-MeV energy range of interest. A 100 kton THEIA detector with such a configuration would achieve world-leading sensitivity at the ~ 5 meV level for the effective Majorana neutrino mass  $m_{\beta\beta}$ , beginning to probe the challenging parameter space in the case that the neutrino mass ordering is 'normal' ( $m_1 < m_3$ ).

Realizing such a novel experiment will require R&D both in detector technology and anal-The scintillator loading chemistry builds from previous successful efforts, but will vsis. require dedicated optimization including the photon yield, timing, and spectral properties of the scintillation light. Both the production and filtration will also need to be expanded to a much larger scale for the full 100 kton detector, relative to previous experiments. Photon detector R&D is also crucial; techniques to improve event identification, such as through fast timing or wavelength sorting of photons, will allow recovery of a faint, directional Cherenkov cone amid the much larger isotropic scintillation signal. The ability to reconstruct event direction provides a powerful handle to reduce backgrounds due to solar neutrinos, and could provide further improvements via topological particle identification. A containment balloon system and readout instrumentation also require optimization and design. Analysis techniques leveraging these hardware developments, and in particular fast timing and spatial resolution, are an exciting frontier. Novel techniques for event reconstruction and particle identification using machine learning and other new technologies offer benefits both to the THEIA physics program and the broader community of optical detectors.

A highly sensitive NLDBD search at THEIA, as a component of a broad portfolio of physics measurements, would provide an excellent opportunity to cover a wide range of the allowed NLDBD parameter space, with highly competitive potential for discovery. This nextgeneration multi-purpose detector would afford many physics opportunities complementary to the existing program on the timeline considered during the Snowmass 2021 process.

#### **References:**

[1] M. Askins *et al.* (THEIA Collaboration), "Theia: An advanced optical neutrino detector," Eur. J. Phys. C 80, 416 (2020).

#### Full author list:

M. Askins,<sup>1,2</sup> Z. Bagdasarian,<sup>1,2</sup> N. Barros,<sup>3,4,5</sup> E.W. Beier,<sup>3</sup> E. Blucher,<sup>6</sup> R. Bonventre,<sup>2</sup> E. Bourret,<sup>2</sup> E. J. Callaghan,<sup>1,2</sup> J. Caravaca,<sup>1,2</sup> M. Diwan,<sup>7</sup> S.T. Dye,<sup>8</sup> J. Eisch,<sup>9</sup> A. Elagin,<sup>6</sup> T. Enqvist,<sup>10</sup> V. Fischer,<sup>11</sup> K. Frankiewicz,<sup>12</sup> C. Grant,<sup>12</sup> D. Guffanti,<sup>13</sup> C. Hagner,<sup>14</sup> A. Hallin,<sup>15</sup> C. M. Jackson,<sup>16</sup> R. Jiang,<sup>6</sup> T. Kaptanoglu,<sup>1,2</sup> J.R. Klein,<sup>3</sup> Yu. G. Kolomensky,<sup>1,2</sup> C. Kraus,<sup>17</sup> F. Krennrich,<sup>9</sup> T. Kutter,<sup>18</sup> T. Lachenmaier,<sup>19</sup> B. Land,<sup>1,2,3</sup> K. Lande,<sup>3</sup> J.G. Learned,<sup>8</sup> V. Lozza,<sup>4,5</sup> L. Ludhova,<sup>20</sup> M. Malek,<sup>21</sup> S. Manecki,<sup>17,22,23</sup> J. Maneira,<sup>4,5</sup> J. Maricic,<sup>8</sup> J. Martyn,<sup>13</sup> A. Mastbaum,<sup>24</sup> C. Mauger,<sup>3</sup> J. Migenda,<sup>25</sup> F. Moretti,<sup>2</sup> J. Napolitano,<sup>26</sup> B. Naranjo,<sup>27</sup> M. Nieslony,<sup>13</sup> L. Oberauer,<sup>28</sup> G. D. Orebi Gann,<sup>1,2</sup> J. Ouellet,<sup>29</sup> T. Pershing,<sup>11</sup> S.T. Petcov,<sup>30</sup> L. Pickard,<sup>11</sup> R. Rosero,<sup>7</sup> M. C. Sanchez,<sup>9</sup> J. Sawatzki,<sup>28</sup> S.H. Seo,<sup>31</sup> M. Smiley,<sup>1,2</sup> M. Smy,<sup>32</sup> A. Stahl,<sup>33</sup> H. Steiger,<sup>13,28</sup> M. R. Stock,<sup>28</sup> H. Sunej,<sup>7</sup> R. Svoboda,<sup>11</sup> E. Tiras,<sup>9</sup> W. H. Trzaska,<sup>10</sup> M. Tzanov,<sup>18</sup> M. Vagins,<sup>32</sup> C. Vilela,<sup>34</sup> Z. Wang,<sup>35</sup> J. Wang,<sup>11</sup> M. Wetstein,<sup>9</sup> M.J. Wilking,<sup>34</sup> L. Winslow,<sup>29</sup> P. Wittich,<sup>36</sup> B. Wonsak,<sup>14</sup> E. Worcester,<sup>7,34</sup> M. Wurm,<sup>13</sup> G. Yang,<sup>34</sup> M. Yeh,<sup>7</sup> E.D. Zimmerman,<sup>37</sup> S. Zsoldos,<sup>1,2</sup> and K. Zuber<sup>38</sup> <sup>1</sup>Physics Department, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720-7300 <sup>2</sup>Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Road, Berkeley, CA 94720-8153, USA <sup>3</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104-6396 <sup>4</sup>Universidade de Lisboa, Faculdade de Ciências (FCUL), Departamento de Física, Campo Grande, Edifício C8, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal <sup>5</sup>Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas (LIP), Av. Prof. Gama Pinto, 2, 1649-003, Lisboa, Portugal <sup>6</sup> The Enrico Fermi Institute and Department of Physics, The University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA <sup>7</sup>Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973, USA <sup>8</sup>University of Hawai'i at Manoa, Honolulu, Hawai'i 96822, USA <sup>9</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA <sup>10</sup>Department of Physics. University of Jyväskylä, Finland <sup>11</sup>University of California. Davis. 1 Shields Avenue. Davis. CA 95616. USA <sup>12</sup>Boston University, Department of Physics, Boston, MA 02215, USA <sup>13</sup>Institute of Physics and Excellence Cluster PRISMA, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, 55099 Mainz, Germany <sup>14</sup>Institut für Experimentalphysik, Universität Hamburg, 22761 Hamburg, Germany <sup>15</sup>University of Alberta, Department of Physics, 4-181 CCIS, Edmonton, AB T6G 2E1, Canada <sup>16</sup>Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA 99352, USA <sup>17</sup>Laurentian University, Department of Physics, 935 Ramsey Lake Road, Sudbury, ON P3E 2C6, Canada <sup>18</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803 <sup>19</sup>Kepler Center for Astro and Particle Physics, Universität Tübingen, 72076 Tübingen, Germany <sup>20</sup>Forschungszentrum Jülich, Institute for Nuclear Physics, Wilhelm-Johnen-Straße 52425 Jülich, Germany <sup>21</sup> University of Sheffield, Physics & Astronomy, Western Bank, Sheffield S10 2TN, UK

<sup>22</sup>Queen's University, Department of Physics, Engineering Physics & Astronomy, Kingston, ON K7L 3N6, Canada

<sup>23</sup>SNOLAB, Creighton Mine 9, 1039 Regional Road 24, Sudbury, ON P3Y 1N2, Canada

<sup>24</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, 136 Frelinghuysen Road, Piscataway, NJ 08854-8019 USA

<sup>25</sup>King's College London, Department of Physics, Strand Building, Strand, London WC2R 2LS, UK

<sup>26</sup>Department of Physics, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA, USA

<sup>27</sup> University of California Los Angeles, Department of Physics & Astronomy, 475 Portola Plaza, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1547, USA

<sup>28</sup> Physik-Department and Excellence Cluster Universe, Technische Universität München, 85748 Garching, Germany

<sup>29</sup> Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Department of Physics and Laboratory for Nuclear Science, 77 Massachusetts Ave Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

<sup>30</sup>SISSA/INFN, Via Bonomea 265, I-34136 Trieste, Italy

Kavli IPMU (WPI), University of Tokyo, 5-1-5 Kashiwanoha, 277-8583 Kashiwa, Japan

<sup>31</sup>Center for Underground Physics, Institute for Basic Science, Daejeon 34126, Korea

<sup>32</sup>University of California, Irvine, Department of Physics, CA 92697, Irvine, USA

<sup>33</sup>Physikzentrum RWTH Aachen, Otto-Blumenthal-Straße, 52074 Aachen, Germany

<sup>34</sup>State University of New York at Stony Brook, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Stony Brook, New York, USA

<sup>35</sup>Department of Engineering Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

<sup>36</sup>Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

<sup>37</sup>University of Colorado at Boulder, Department of Physics, Boulder, Colorado, USA

<sup>38</sup>Institut für Kern und Teilchenphysik, TU Dresden, Zellescher Weg 19, 01069, Dresden, Germany